The Honorable Board of Lander County Commissioners met in regular session in the Commission Chambers of the Lander County Courthouse and Administration Building in Battle Mountain, Nevada, 50 State Route 305, on October 13, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. Transcript produced by Tiffany Elkington, C.C.R., #930.

PRESENT: STEVEN STIENMETZ, COMMISSIONER
PATSY WAITS, COMMISSIONER
SEAN BAKKER, COMMISSIONER
ART CLARK III, COMMISSIONER
DOUG MILLS, COMMISSIONER
KEITH WESTENGARD, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SADIE SULLIVAN, COUNTY CLERK
THEODORE HERRERA, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
ELIZABETH DIAS, FISCAL OFFICER

(Let the record reflect the presence of a quorum of five commissioners. Let the record reflect the presence of Austin via teleconference.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All right. Call this meeting to order. Lander County Commission meeting, Town Board of Battle Mountain, and Austin Board of County Highway Commissioners, October 13th, 2016.

Doug, will you lead us in the pledge, please.

(Pledge of Allegiance)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Can I have a moment of silence, please.

(Moment of silence)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Lander County Commissioners will break for lunch from 12:15 to 1:15 p.m.

COMMISSIONER REPORTS

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Commissioner reports on meetings,

conferences, and seminars attended.

Steve?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I don't have anything to report at this time.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Doug?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay. I'd like to report on our hospital board meeting. We had a meeting October 5th. The meeting was six hours long.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Wow.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah. There was some good things out of it. One of the main things is that there's a program called FCHIP, the Frontier Community Health Integration Project. And that's part of our telemed program that the hospital's starting up in conjunction with Renown Hospital.

And they gave a demonstration on that and had the -- had the TV display there. And we were communicating with a lady from Renown Hospital.

And it was really quite good. And they're -- they're using this down in Tonopah because they've lost their clinic down there. So that's what they're using down there. And we're going to be -- start using it up here. And it'll be very helpful for people who need to use a specialist. It'll help so they don't have to go to Reno to see a specialist. They can do it over the telemed system.

One of the interesting -- she gave us some statistics on some things. And one of the interesting one -- things was they were able to determine that Renown Medical is receiving $2 1/2 million in revenue directly from people from Battle Mountain. Big chunk of change.

And through the telemed program, we should be able to keep some of that money in this community and have it stay here at our hospital through using this telemed program. So I think that's one of the big benefits of using it. Save people a lot of -- a lot of expense in travel and be able to see a lot of different kinds of -- of providers. It'll be very helpful for the community.

I did ask about how people are -- are responding to it. Because at the Kingston clinic, they get comments from their customers down there. And one of their comments was something about how Tonopah's kind of a joke and how are they going to treat

people using a TV. So I asked -- I asked the lady about that. And she said, well, they actually have about an 89 percent satisfaction rating with the thing. So it's not a hundred percent. But that's kind of to be expected because you can't -- you can't be there directly with the doctor by your side doing all the stuff a doctor can do when they're physically in your presence. But it -- it sounds very good. Like 90 percent of the time, it can be very beneficial to you. And you can get the health care you need.

You will have a nurse or a doctor in the room with you here that can provide the doctor on the other end of the telemed system with some of those things that they can't detect for themselves, like skin temperature and whether you're cold or clammy, a lot of those kind of things that you have to be physically in their presence to do. So a lot of that information can still be conveyed.

It will take some -- I think it will take a bit of a learning curve for people to get accustomed to it and get used to it. But overall, as it gets known and as it gets used, I think it's going to be a very important tool for our hospital.

I don't know. I think that was probably the biggest thing. We did have -- we did have some discussion about the -- the sidewalk over there on the street, the one they -- they dug a trench and put a sidewalk in it, and now it's lower than the street. There's -- there was some discussion about that. There's going to be more discussion about that time and how to really resolve that issue.

We did have a presentation for health insurance at the hospital. The health insurance is at its renewal again. So we're discussing our health insurance for the hospital employees. That's going to be another -- you know, health insurance is always -- it's always a big one. It's expensive. And it's always difficult to change. And there -- there are big decisions to make. But we'll be discussing that some more too.

And I think -- oh, other than that, we did -- we did -- Keith and I met with Walt over at the airport pertaining to the equipment there and the lease on that. So we'll be discussing that today.

And then we had a rec center meeting. The rec center's coming along. The construction of the steel framing is going a

little slower than we were hoping. But it's coming along.

It's -- as they're building and painting and things are moving along the way it's supposed to, maybe just not as fast as it's supposed to. But things are going good.

And that's all I've got.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Patsy?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.

On October 6th, I did have a conference call with our justice and public safety committee. It's a NACO committee that I sit on nationally. And there was 140 people that were actually on that call that belong to this committee. It's one of the largest committees of NACO that they have.

I am the only one in Nevada that actually was on this time. But they do have judges and sheriffs' offices too.

Mostly it was just kind of a get-together introduction. This is my second year on the committee. And they've reappointed everybody. So they were introducing themselves and how large their counties was. And it was interesting because they went in alphabetical order; so, of course, I'm way down the list waiting. And these people get on and say, well, I'm from a very rural county. We only have 176,000 people. And you listen to a few of those. And I go, gee, wait until they get down to under 10,000. Hello. Hello. We're the smallest one on.

But they're tackling a lot of things this year that I will report on as we go on. Mental illness was one of the main concerns in our jails, of course. And the other one is our -- our substance abuse. And that seems to be nationwide. There wasn't a county that didn't mention it.

On October 3rd and October 6th, the cooperative extension held on-site visits for our educator here in Battle Mountain. Excuse me. And some of you got a chance to -- to meet with them.

After the on-site interviews, the committee actually reported via email the strengths and weaknesses and who they would support and who they would not support. And it's always very interesting. Even through all of our telephone applications and interviews, they've really been on the same wave. It really has. It -- it's just interesting.

They have made a decision. I'm sure they've made a decision. They haven't said that yet. So I'm not going to report who I think it is. But just by reading the strengths and

weaknesses and what the emails were going back and forth, the decision has been made. And now we're just hoping that that applicant will take it now that they have visited Battle Mountain and their on-site visit. So we'll be knowing that very, very soon, I'm sure.

On October 5th, I attended the Fallon Navy air base informational shop that they had in Austin. They've been going around the different areas and -- and having the informational workshops for input from the public. And I did report on that, actually, on 9/22, that it was coming in.

The Fallon Range Training Complex is asking to quadruple their air space to tune of another -- what? -- six, six million acres -- 600,000 acres and another 65,000 nonpublic lands. But they said, we don't really want to know whether you're for us or against us; we just want to know any ideas you have of some kind of things we could do to help with our modification. Because everything has changed so much since they got their air space 20 years ago. And even the computers and, I mean, the -- now when they're -- they're actually bombing, they're doing it 50 miles away from the site.

So if they miss now, it's like, yeah, they want more -- more space in case they miss, I guess. I can see why because everything's changing.

But the bottom line is, there'll be comment periods all the way through for the next four years before they finally complete it.

So my question was: If you need it now, how are you going to wait four years to do it? But they're -- they figure they're on target. But they need to modify it. So that was important.

On Monday, October 10th, we had an airport board meeting in Austin. They have started our fuel project for the av fuel. A few things that we needed to modify a bit. They thought that we had three-phase in one area that we don't. We do have three-phase on the airport, but now we have to trench it and do some modification to -- to get it to where it should be.

I know it was brought up before that we wanted to look at putting footings in for the jet fuel at the same time because, in our prebid conference, we asked about it. What can we do to save money? And they said, oh, we're out here with cement. It costs so much to put it out. If you do the footings at the same time,

you'll save quite a bit. We kept asking and asking for the amount so that we could bring it before the commissioners and see if we could do this. And my understanding is, from J-U-B -- and, of course, we have a new airport engineer that's working with us personally, but they just got the quote last week.

And the quote that -- that he gave us was over $20,000, which included some of the other things for the change order.

But the whole point of it was to save money. And they're supposed to be pouring this week. So if we can't give them an approval, then we've missed the opportunity to pour. So they still have to do -- so I'm a little confused on that. We need to straighten that out. But I can't see an okay if we aren't going to save. It's just going to come on in again. So we'll wait on that.

There was one thing I wanted to share with you. We do have a webinar coming up on -- with NACO on 10/19. And that's with the leadership roles in the county.

And also, going back and forth, we have had NACO on top of one of the -- the new proposed plans the Department of Justice is coming out with. And that is to revise the Americans with Disability Act regulations to require state and local government websites to adhere to that advanced accessibility. And we know that's more money. Then NACO's analysis of the proposed rule identified several stumbling blocks in this one-size-fits-all that's not going to work.

And I tried to get it on the agenda, and we had some miscommunication on things. So we could get it in for our comments because NACO always wants the county comments. You've got to be at the table and let them know one size is not going to fit all.

And, unfortunately, the -- the time frame was a little close. And we had to have everything in by October 7th. But our district attorney stepped up for us and wrote a great letter from Lander saying some of the things that we would have -- costs especially, but the other things that we just simply are not -- have the technology to handle right now if they did such things.

So thank you for handling that for us. And I wanted --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- it on record and you all to know we're on -- on record doing that.

So thank you. That's the only thing I had.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thanks, Patsy.

Art?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't have anything of significance to report on PLUAC or the building commission.

I'll have a lot to report after the sage hen decision is given by the judge. And I'm sure that things will be -- be moving along at light speed after then.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thanks, Art.

STAFF REPORTS

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Staff reports on meetings -- meetings, conferences, and seminars attended.

KEITH WESTENGARD: All right.

Probably the thing that's dominated our time mostly in the last week and a half or so has been our audit. We had our auditors in, HintonBurdick. They did come in. They performed the audit. There were several small deficiencies. They're going to give a complete report, probably in the next -- by the end of the year, maybe first part of January, they'll come to a meeting and give a full report.

One thing that they did find was, last July and August, we missed a double payment to the hospital in the amount of $50,000, $25,000 each month.

So you'll probably see that on a cost report --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Whose fault --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- coming --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- was --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- your --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- that?

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- way. It was forgot by the previous finance director, fiscal officer. Previous, not -- not the current one.

So we took care of that.

I did contact Peggy Lindsey at the hospital to let her know that it was coming her way.

And she was going to look on her end as well to find out -- it was missed at both ends.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Was all the money accounted for?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So no money's missing?

KEITH WESTENGARD: No money's missing. The -- those rumors were put to bed.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Good deal.

KEITH WESTENGARD: The auditors did a complete audit, like I said.

And -- and we're actually in pretty good shape. We do have some internal controls we need to work on, which, like I say, we'll be discussing in the future, having to do with some of the county finances.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Awesome.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay.

We did start the Battle Mountain Airport rehabilitation project on the runways out there. They should be completed and doing the -- the numbering of -- the runways tomorrow.

So that's the -- the $777,000 project doing -- being done by Maxwell that, as you remember very clearly, we had a lot of -- there was a lot of issues finally getting it going. But it is just about finished.

Commissioner Waits touched on the Austin Airport fuel project, which is continuing.

I attended the POOL/PACT HR conference along with the HR director last week. It was a very informative conference.

I also attended the Reno fire shows and looked at some options for our new Kingston volunteer fire company -- Town of Kingston volunteer fire company vehicle, which is later on in the agenda. And I do want to remind you that the POD, the point of dispense flu vaccine starts today at 4:00 o'clock at the Peters building. And they'll be giving the vaccines.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: The rest of the things are on the agenda. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thanks, Keith.

PUBLIC COMMENT

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Public comment for non-agendized items

only.

Persons are invited to submit comments in writing and/or attend and make comments on any non-agenda item at the board meeting, if any. And discussion of those comments at the discretion of the board. All public comment may be limited to three minutes per person, again at the discretion of the board. Reasonable restrictions may be placed on public comment based upon time, place, and manner, but public comment based upon viewpoint may not be restricted.

Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CONSENT AGENDA

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Consent agenda.

All matters listed under the consent agenda are considered routine, may be acted upon by the Board of County Commissioners with one action, without extensive discussion. Any member of the board or any citizen may request that an item be taken from the consent agenda, discussed, and acted upon separately during this meeting. Consent agenda materials are available at the Lander County Clerk's Office for viewing, and copies are available for a nominal charge.

One, approval of agenda notice, October 13th, 2016; two, August 26th, 2016, special meeting minutes; three, September 6th, 2016, meeting minutes; four, September 22nd, 2016, meeting minutes; five, payment of bills; six, payroll change requests.

We have them all.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep. Okay. So with that...

Do you guys want to take anything out? If not, I need a motion.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: We good?

I make a motion to approve the consent agenda.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second the motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Steve seconded. Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Motion carries.

TREASURER

1) **Discussion and possible action regarding a letter of resignation from the Lander County treasurer and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: One. Discussion and possible action regarding a letter of resignation from the Lander County treasurer and all other matters properly related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Mr. Chairman, Monday morning, Grace Kemp gave me a call. Commissioner Mills was in my office. She expressed she was ill. She would not be able to make it to this meeting -- or she didn't think she'd be able to make it. She sounded terrible on the phone. But she did express that -- or wanted me to pass on to you that January 2nd will be her last day as the Lander County treasurer. She will follow that up with a written letter of resignation.

Monday, when we spoke with her, she hadn't even written it up yet. But she did tell us she did go see PERS yesterday, Wednesday. And that was her late -- or her date -- last date would be January 2nd, 2017.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: So as soon as I get the letter, I will make sure that it's put to you.

Once again, she's -- she's ill, so she couldn't even make it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Thank you, Keith.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any questions?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: She's right over there?

KEITH WESTENGARD: She's here?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Public comment?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: She's here. I just --

2) Discussion and possible action for the Lander County Commissioners to give direction to the executive director regarding the advertisement for the Lander County treasurer position, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Two, discussion and possible action for the Lander County Commissioners to give direction to the executive director regarding the advertisement for the Lander County treasurer position, and other all other matters properly related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Just looking for some direction. But if I could ask for -- I understand that the treasurer is here. So --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh, okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- I'll go try to find her.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Let's take a two-minute break.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Without actually getting the letter, we really can't --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You can't --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I was going to --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- have a --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- ask, do we have a --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You can't --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- letter?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- do anything.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You can't --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: You can't --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- have a conditional letter --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- of termination.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: No.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: You've got to have that before you can decide how --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- to best go forward.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: She's an elected official.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: One minute?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: How do you do this?
COMMISSIONER CLARK: You want to come --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: (Indiscernible.)
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- back to this or --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: No, we do it.
KEITH WESTENGARD: He's just going across the hall.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh. Right there.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Oh.zjj
GRACE KEMP: Good morning.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Good morning.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Good morning.
So Grace, we're going to go back to one.
GRACE KEMP: Okay.

1) **Discussion and possible action regarding a letter of resignation from the Lander County treasurer and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Discussion and possible regarding a letter of resignation from the Lander County treasurer and all other matters properly related thereto.
How are you?
GRACE KEMP: Good.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Are you feeling better?
GRACE KEMP: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
Any questions?
COMMISSIONER WAITS: So if -- if we don't have the letter and she gives us a verbal recognition -- recognition -- resignation, we can actually accept it with a verbal resig- -- resignation?
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I believe so.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: If Grace is here and says, I'm

doing it. I'll submit the letter by such and such date, I --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, it's in the record too.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah, you can take action.

GRACE KEMP: What -- what I'd like to do -- excuse me.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, Bert, will you get Grace some water, please.

BERT RAMOS: Yeah.

GRACE KEMP: I hadn't looked at the agenda yet. I didn't know what time I was on --

Thank you, doctor. Doctor Ramos.

BERT RAMOS: (Indiscernible.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thanks, Bert.

GRACE KEMP: My -- my date I'd like to quit would be January 2nd.

So for my first day of retirement would be the 3rd.

My understanding -- I talked to Ted quite a while ago -- there's really no qualification for my job, other than you have to be a -- I think you have to be 18 years of age and you have to be a resident of Lander County. I believe that's it.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That's qual- -- that's the qualification for the elected position, --

GRACE KEMP: Yes, and that's --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- not the appointed one.

GRACE KEMP: Oh.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You can make any qualifications you want on an appointed.

GRACE KEMP: I didn't realize that. Thank you.

Anyway, at the end of the day -- I remember, when I was appointed, we all had to do applications, like any other job for the Lander County. And I was in only one that put in an application at the time. And the former treasurer had recommended me as being the appointed person, and that went through fine.

I believe at that time that only Republicans -- because I was a Republican -- could put in for that job.

So Ted was going to check into that to see if it has to be party affiliated because I'm leaving in the middle of my term. That's the only question I have. Other than that, I'd like to get it advertised. And I'll get it -- a letter in. As long as we don't hire someone before that letter's in, --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

GRACE KEMP: -- I think we should be fine. But I just haven't felt good to do it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, they can't be hired until January 2nd.

GRACE KEMP: As of that date?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Because --

GRACE KEMP: Third. At 3rd.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Because there's no -- 3rd, because there's no vacancy then -- until then.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I got you.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: But I will definitely check on see if --

GRACE KEMP: Yeah, I don't --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- there's any --

GRACE KEMP: I don't know. I don't know how that --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- party affiliation.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We did put something in the budget, though, for some type of training for a period of time.

GRACE KEMP: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So...

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You -- yeah.

GRACE KEMP: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So how do we do that? Are you --

GRACE KEMP: Well, the thing --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- staying on after the 2nd to help them with the training or --

GRACE KEMP: I'll come back. I have to work with the district attorney because I'm going to be on the PERS system, no different than any other PERS employee that goes out. You don't want to hurt yourself by --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

GRACE KEMP: -- making too much or not enough or however that works.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

GRACE KEMP: But at the end of the day, I'm definitely willing to come back and help anybody. I just --I need to get it going. I do have that in my budget right now for one person, for a three-month -- and -- I guess a training period to hire someone and have that trained.

However, I still have those two people in my office that could fall under that category. I wasn't even a consideration under that three months.

Now, because I'm a senior elected official, I do make more money than anyone coming back on.

So the last six months of the budget would possibly cover my -- my helping anyway.

I don't know. We'd have to talk to the finance officer and find out what we can do there.

But they're not going to come in at what I make, because I've been here the 32 years.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It would be because of PERS. She'll be collecting PERS. So as an independent contractor, if this is the way you -- you decide to -- to proceed, the -- her salary that's in the budget would more than -- would cover her as an independent contractor.

GRACE KEMP: Yeah, I'm hoping it will balance out, but I don't know that for sure.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So 32 years, huh, Grace?

GRACE KEMP: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, thank you.

GRACE KEMP: Actually, what's today's date?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thirteenth. October 13th.

GRACE KEMP: Thirteenth? Two days. Thirty-two years.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Wow.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Wow.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So --

Okay. Keith?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yep.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I opened two. So we're fine.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Oh. Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: What direction -- I would say work with Grace on qualifications too. Or what -- how do you guys want to proceed?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, on Number 1, do we need a motion to accept the verbal resignation?

GRACE KEMP: Or should we wait and put that on --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I -- I'd rath- -- I'd prefer a written resignation. If -- if you accept the verbal res- --

resignation -- I'm not suggesting she's going to change her mind, but --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- stranger things have happened.

GRACE KEMP: It could happen, I mean --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We'll have to defer it to the next agenda.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Let's defer one and two to the next meeting.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Sounds --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Can --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- good to me.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- can you have a -- a written one to us before the --

GRACE KEMP: Oh, --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- the --

GRACE KEMP: -- absolutely.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- end of the meeting today?

GRACE KEMP: I was -- I was actually going to have it to you to be accepted, but what I'd like to do is work on getting the application ready.

So what's the next commission meeting? The --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Twenty-seventh.

GRACE KEMP: So if we do it there, we could go ahead and acknowledge my resignation and approve everything and get it in the paper right away. Because we're going to have to do that anyway --

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: Yes.

GRACE KEMP: -- in a couple of weeks.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: That'll work.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: If --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- if you do a resignation to us today, can we do it today still? It's on the agenda today.

GRACE KEMP: I'm not going to get it to you today.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: No.

GRACE KEMP: I can promise you that.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

GRACE KEMP: I just know it's not going to happen today.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
Well, we can do that the next meeting. That's fine.
GRACE KEMP: But I can't put it out into the newspaper until we approve --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.
GRACE KEMP: -- everything anyway. So we could do step one, step two.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: (Indiscernible.)
COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll make a motion we defer Items 1 and 2 to the next agenda.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Patsy made a motion.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Second.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug seconded. Any public comment?
(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you, Grace.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thanks, --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- Grace.
GRACE KEMP: Okay, thanks.

COMMISSIONERS

3) Discussion and possible action regarding drafting a letter to the Nevada congressional delegation recommending 10,757 acres be released from the Augusta Wilderness Study Area and 6,388 be designated as Wilderness Area in the Lander County portion, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Three, discussion and possible action regarding drafting the letter to the Nevada congressional

delegation recommending 10,757 acres be released from the Augustas Wilderness Study Area and 6,388 be designated as Wilderness Area in the Lander County portion, and all other matters properly related thereto.

Bert, is this you? I think.

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: Yeah.

BERT PARIS: Bert Paris.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Good morning.

BERT PARIS: How are you guys?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Good morning.

BERT PARIS: I would just like the commissioners' support in resolving the wilderness study area issue in my grazing allotment.

It's been in the Lander County, the land use plan, for -- since 1991 to release this whole -- the whole wilderness study area, but no congressional action has ever been taken. So it just sits there for 25 years. Nothing's done.

So right now, with Pershing County acting on their bills, we have the opportunity to get congressional action.

So that's where I came in. When I started becoming aware of what was going on, I thought it would be a good time to resolve this issue.

So we would designate 6,388 acres as wilderness study -- as wilderness area and release the part that actually affects my grazing allotment, which is the 10,000, almost 11,000 acres. And that would be released, if this passed Congress, of course, and gets into these lands bills.

We have the support of our congressional delegation. There's already some bills in the works with Amodei and Reid and Heller. We could put it onto the -- I'm not sure how it's going to work from here, if it would go into the Pershing County lands bill or it could stand on its own or be attached to some other bill. Keith probably knows more about that than I do. But that's -- that's what's going on right now is, you know, these bills are in the works. And we can could hand it off to -- the commission would hand it off to Washington, D.C., and get the ball rolling and see what happens.

I think it's a good way to resolve this issue that's been hanging around for 25 years.

You know, none of us like wilder- -- as ranchers, you got to be cautious about wilderness areas. And they're -- but I think in this case it would be a way to get it through the easy way. And I think it would be a win-win for everyone and for Lander County.

So if you have any questions -- and I'd be glad help in any way I can. Keith and I can work together or whatever. And if you need any help or any questions, I'm -- I've been working on this thing for a couple months now so...

KEITH WESTENGARD: There's -- there's a couple of ways we can go. It can be attached to an existing bill. There's the Pershing one. There's also one in Oregon, I believe, that it could be attached to. Or it could be a standalone.

My -- I'd like to do a little more research on it, but right off the top of my head I would think it would be easier if we attached it to an existing bill --

BERT PARIS: Yeah.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- and moved it forward that way.

But whatever you -- whatever you would like.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, this is what we asked you to do, Bert, was to come up with what's going to work with you.

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I support it a hundred percent.

BERT PARIS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: If this is going to work for Lander County, then it's going to work for everybody.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Who -- who did you work with to help you get this all worked out?

BERT PARIS: What's that?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Who did you work with to help you get this worked out?

BERT PARIS: I worked with, actually, the wilderness society. Paul Spitler is his name. And he's -- he -- he was a lot of help in -- in fact he drew the maps up for me. I didn't like the -- the map that they came out with at first. And so we -- we raised it up the -- higher up on the mountain. And he was good with that too. And then, of course, I know a guy that works for Amodei too that I've worked with too.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Good.

BERT PARIS: So...

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Mr. Paris, my -- my concern is if the wilderness area is behind you, that -- that's a good start, by all means, but is there any chance that they would just look at the whole thing and say, "Let's just make the whole study area the wilderness area"?

BERT PARIS: Well, that's -- that's what's been --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Because --

BERT PARIS: -- gro- --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- it hadn't --

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- met the designation to actually --

BERT PARIS: Exactly.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- be --

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- a wilderness --

BERT PARIS: And that's --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- area.

BERT PARIS: -- what's -- what's been -- that's why I say, for 25 years it's been a study area, this block of land.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And it hasn't met the qualifications?

BERT PARIS: It hasn't meet the qualifications. And it -- the BLM has even said that it shouldn't be wilderness area at all, okay? But no congressional --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So --

BERT PARIS: -- action has --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- if you give them --

BERT PARIS: -- been taken.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- this little bit, --

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- then --

BERT PARIS: Because --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- you think they'll --

BERT PARIS: -- it has to go through --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- they'll give us --

BERT PARIS: -- Congress.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- back the rest?

BERT PARIS: That's the plan, yeah.

And I've been assured by the wilderness society that that would happen.

But it's worth a try. I mean, otherwise it's just going to

be who knows how long, and it will still be study area.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Just like the rest.

BERT PARIS: Yep.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Bert, do you have some language you need in the letter from the county?

BERT PARIS: I think whatever -- I think in that email I sent to you, I think the language was in there?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah, it's a letter of support. I can put it together.

BERT PARIS: Yeah. And I can work with Keith on that too.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

BERT PARIS: Like -- that is very important to get the right -- right language.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.

BERT PARIS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: That fits you.

BERT PARIS: You bet.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Does -- does your proposal include an idea of -- of no wilderness area at all, but as a concession to have part of it be wilderness and the rest of it released?

BERT PARIS: Well, that -- that would just kind of stall things along, I think, --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Would it?

BERT PARIS: -- if we did that. Yeah, we did consider that. But then it just -- it would kind of be the same -- same old thing.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Give a little and take a little.

BERT PARIS: Mm.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Because I'm agreeing with you. The idea of any wilderness area is really not --

BERT PARIS: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- appealing.

BERT PARIS: Even though there's no grazing restrictions on wilderness area.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

BERT PARIS: But --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So. And just so you know, I went and looked at that mountain the other day. I flew over it and

looked at it, --

BERT PARIS: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- trying to see if I could tell if there's any kind of activity from people up there at all. And that is so steep --

BERT PARIS: It's rugged. It is rugged.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- and so rugged.

I can see -- I could see where roads were coming up to the base of the mountain, --

BERT PARIS: Right. And --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- but would --

BERT PARIS: -- that's where --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- but would --

BERT PARIS: It would be above those roads.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

BERT PARIS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: And -- and there were no -- I -- I saw one road that went -- went up pretty high, but it was on some stuff that was so steep I would never drive on it.

BERT PARIS: Oh, yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: And it looked like it hadn't -- it looked old, like it hadn't been driven on for a very long time.

BERT PARIS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So from what I could tell from looking at it, it didn't look like anybody goes up there, which to me makes it a little better. If we're going to give something up as wilderness area, --

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- you may as well make -- it -- it's almost like cliffs. That thing is so steep.

BERT PARIS: That's exactly what it is. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So it's probably never going to get used by anybody.

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So if it's made into a wilderness area, we may not -- we're not really -- I don't think really losing out.

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: But there's still the idea of we don't want wilderness area.

BERT PARIS: Sure.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: But if we're going to make a concession and -- and pick a place that we're going to call wilderness, --

BERT PARIS: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- that's probably about as good a place as you could pick because no one's going there anyway.

BERT PARIS: Sure. Like you say, there's a --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So --

BERT PARIS: -- bit of give and take. But I think Lander County is taking more than they're giving.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right. So I --

BERT PARIS: Or --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- think I could --

BERT PARIS: -- maybe that's not the way to put it. But we're receiving more than --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I can certainly support you on this and help --

BERT PARIS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- get you some relief on some of the stuff you're dealing with --

BERT PARIS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- with the study area.

BERT PARIS: Right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

With that...

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I'll make a motion that we draft a letter to the Nevada congressional delegation -- delegation recommending 10,705 acres be released from the Augusta Wilderness Study Area and 6,388 be designated as wilderness area in the Lander County portion, and all -- in the Lander County portion.

Because it's a wilderness area, doesn't mean that people can't go in. It just means --

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- you can't take a vehicle in there.

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You can still access --

BERT PARIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- it by horse --
BERT PARIS: And --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- or by --
BERT PARIS: -- grazing --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- foot.
BERT PARIS: -- and grazing restrictions are not supposed to be restricted.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Art made a motion.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- second.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- second.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Oh, we'll both --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- second.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- his motion.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Steve seconded.
Any public comment?
FRANK WHITMAN: Frank Whitman from Austin.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Go ahead, Frank.
FRANK WHITMAN: Just a couple points of information.
Where the BLM is, have these wilderness study areas in other parts of the state, where they -- what they've done is -- it almost seems as if, in order to get you to sign, they'll reduce them by 50 or even 60, 70 percent.
I don't think you have to worry that the BLM will come back and make any of the original 12 -- 10,000 acres, keeping it as a wilderness area. All that they really want is the wilderness area to be made. That's it.
And the other item would be if the commissioners vote to support this. To our lobbyists, for the Lander County land bill, it was very clear that we wanted our land bill to be a very clean up-and-down economic package, which is what we've presented. Bert might want to or the commissioners might suggest or Amodei may suggest that this is attached to the Pershing County bill, which will probably have a more hiccups going through Congress, if it does indeed go through Congress and/or the -- the Oregon lands bill.
And lastly, to say that, eventually, yeah, sure. We

probably will have to sit down and -- and figure out what -- what we -- what we want or not want in -- in our -- in our -- in our county.

One thing that happened for Pershing County was that they sat down, the BLM did come up with some brand-new wilderness areas that had never been, you know, part -- part and parcel before in any other map.

So that process, if we do that eventually for Lander County, was going require a year's time to figure out and public hearings, et cetera, et cetera.

Thank you for my -- for listening to my comments.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thanks, Frank.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thanks, Frank.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any other public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Thank you, Bert.

BERT PARIS: Thank --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thank you, Bert.

BERT PARIS: -- you, guys.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thanks, Bert.

BERT PARIS: And any help you need, let me know.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

4) Discussion and possible action regarding the approval/disapproval of lease renewal between Bullock Mechanical and Lander County for the building located at 142 W. Third Street, Battle Mountain, Nevada 89820, in an amount of $962.40 per month, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Four. Discussion and possible action regarding the approval/disapproval of lease renewal between Bullock Mechanical, Lander County for the building located at 142 West Third Street, Battle Mountain, Nevada 89820, in an

amount of $962.40 per month, and all other matters properly related thereto.

Scott.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Scott Bullock, for the record.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Obviously we just want to discuss my lease agreement and sounds like you guys want four and a half times more rent than what I was already paying?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We want fair market value.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Fair market value --

SCOTT BULLOCK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- is what it's been assessed at.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Okay.

And the assessment was 50,000 for the whole property; correct?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't know anything about that. I just know that --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: To buy it, are you talking, Scott?

SCOTT BULLOCK: I'd love to buy it. I -- I talked to Keith about that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, we would have to advertise that.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, there's no doubt it's a huge shop. We were at 200 --

SCOTT BULLOCK: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- a month. And --

SCOTT BULLOCK: And I only --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- it says we did all the appraisals. And like -- like Commissioner Clark is saying, the fair market value, it puts it almost out of sight, unless it is something you would like to consider to buy, which, as he said, we would have to advertise. But that's certainly a -- a thought. Because I can't see why you'd want to spend that --

SCOTT BULLOCK: No.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- kind of money more --

SCOTT BULLOCK: I don't.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- for this building. And --

SCOTT BULLOCK: Well, and I -- I don't get the whole property.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But -- but as far --
SCOTT BULLOCK: Right. You know.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- as renting it way under fair market value, that isn't something that we want to start a precedent on either now that we have paid for all the appraisals. So I'm -- I certainly want to give, you know, --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: What -- what --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- an opportunity --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- percentage --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- to be fair.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- what percentage of the whole property do you have?
SCOTT BULLOCK: I -- I have the back, like, garage area. And I have stuff under that awning.
But I don't have that front office or the other building.
That's why I was just curious how you got to that number.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Keith?
KEITH WESTENGARD: We excluded the front office that -- that's being rented or being leased by another party.
And -- as well as the other building you're talking about. We just went with -- with what you had on -- what you're using, based on the 30 cents and 40 cents a square foot from our appraisals.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: So how --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- what -- we're talking about a lease right now.
KEITH WESTENGARD: Pardon?
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: We're talk- --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: We're talking about a lease.
KEITH WESTENGARD: Now -- now if -- if there's another building that you're not using, maybe we need to --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Readjust.
KEITH WESTENGARD: -- readjust it.
And I'll go over there with you. And you can show me what you're using. And I'll figure it out right in front you, based on the 30 and 40 cents a square foot.
SCOTT BULLOCK: So how many square foot are you saying I use now?
KEITH WESTENGARD: I think it was --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: You said a lot of it is storage in back with just one building?

SCOTT BULLOCK: Yeah, it's like a --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah.

SCOTT BULLOCK: It's -- it's a --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Then we're probably no- --

SCOTT BULLOCK: -- garage.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- -where near the -- the amount that the whole thing was --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No, because I think that office in the back was calculated in there too.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes, it was.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: In the appraisal.

KEITH WESTENGARD: It was. And you're not using that office at all?

SCOTT BULLOCK: What office?

KEITH WESTENGARD: In the back? The building in the back.

SCOTT BULLOCK: On the back right side?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes.

SCOTT BULLOCK: No, I've never had access to that building.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay. So that was figured incorrectly, then. We did add that.

And I don't remember the square footage of that building offhand.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Yeah, I think the water and sewer department still has a bunch of maps and stuff in there as far as --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So let's -- why don't you go over there with Scott and figure out the square footage? And then we'll re- --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- -do this at the next meeting.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay. So in the meantime, what are we going to do with -- what would --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It just --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- you like me to do with this?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- just keeps paying the same money he's paying right now until we figure this out at the next meeting.

SCOTT BULLOCK: And I --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Because all it is -- it's only like --

all it is, is a calculation of 30 cents a square foot. We just need to get the right square footage.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Is that what normal -- so where do you come up with the 30 cents a square foot? I'm just trying --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: The appraiser.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: From the -- from the --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: The --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- appraisal.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- appraiser (indiscernible).

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It's 30 cents industrial, 40 cents --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, Scott, in that --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- office --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- book, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- space.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- the back, the back page --

No, no, no. On Number 4. Go to Item 4.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: On Number 4?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Actually, we -- we can figure the square footage real quick -- 648-square-feet office -- square-foot office building.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Keep going. No. Keep going, Scott.

SCOTT BULLOCK: On this?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep.

That page right there.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: The last line there.

SCOTT BULLOCK: So it's considered industrial?

Because, I mean, more or less it's like a storage unit more than anything.

I mean, the bathroom's never been functioning. I don't use any of the water and sewer.

I mean, are you guys looking to dump a bunch of money to make it really nice and paint it and take care of the yard, like the contract says? I mean, is that what you're headed towards? Or --

KEITH WESTENGARD: This --

SCOTT BULLOCK: You just want it off the books and buy it --

KEITH WESTENGARD: The appraisal --

SCOTT BULLOCK: -- for 50,000?

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- the appraisal was based on a

government-licensed appraiser who visited the property.

So there's only two in the state of Nevada that are licensed to do this for government properties.

So when he went in and he looked at it, this is on his professional -- based on his professional appraisal.

The 648-square-foot building, that's -- that's the front building that's leased by someone else; correct?

SCOTT BULLOCK: Yeah.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay. So then we had 1,120 square feet of an industrial building, 1,440 feet of the M&E shed. I believe that's the one you're talking about that's like a lean-to almost. That --

SCOTT BULLOCK: Yes. It's --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- (indiscernible).

SCOTT BULLOCK: -- just an awning.

And then 600 and -- 608-square-foot shed building. Those are what -- those are really the numbers that we used when we did it, the bottom three on the -- out of the four on your -- in your books.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Uh-huh.

KEITH WESTENGARD: So that's how we came up with those numbers.

SCOTT BULLOCK: So you're calculating the awning as part of the square footage at 40 cents?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Thirty.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Or --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Thirty cents.

SCOTT BULLOCK: -- 30 cents?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Industrial building is 30 cents.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Even though it's not a -- it's just a cover, not an awning. I'm just asking.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes. Yes. That's -- that's what the appraiser -- that's how he based it, was on that -- that type of a building. That's what he called it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I would suggest you go over there with Scott, check out the square footage, and then we'll readjust this.

What do you guys think?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And then you guys can discuss or

reagendize it if you want to ask to buy it. And then we'll then we'll reagendize that properly and take those proper steps.

SCOTT BULLOCK: I mean, that's what I'd --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, --

SCOTT BULLOCK: -- I'd like to do.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- the purchasing's a whole --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The county --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- separate deal.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- can't sell --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah, you can't just --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- it to one person.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It's got to be an auction.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I understand that, but reagendize it to ask that so we can make a motion to go forward with that.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, I understand. But the statute's clear. The county shall not sell or lease for less than the highest appraised value. And if it sells, it's got to go through a process to sell, resolution, things like that. And then it goes to the highest bidder --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- at the highest appraised value.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: The whole thing is after -- after they finish, I mean, he'll have an amount. And you can decide whether that's --

SCOTT BULLOCK: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- something -- whether you're just going to say vacate --

SCOTT BULLOCK: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- it or we're going to put it on the market or whether you'd like to apply with the others.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: That's fine.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Because I'm not interested at --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But it won't be a surprise.

SCOTT BULLOCK: -- that price.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I mean, you'll know what it is before

it comes back on the agenda. So if you can do that in the next, you know, week or so so we can. Because if -- if you definitely can live with whatever amount at the 30 cents and 40 cents that it's going to figure out at, we'll put it back on to renew your lease at that amount.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I just want to be clear on something, though. Those last three, that was done -- that's what he's calling these buildings. Are you saying you want me to readjust the industrial building, the M&E shed, and the -- and the shed building? This is what the appraiser put them at, --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I'm saying go with --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- a professional.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- him and see what square footage he's actually using. And then use these numbers.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, you can't --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Are you --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- you can't readjust.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- are you using all three of those things?

KEITH WESTENGARD: That's what I'm getting at --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- is --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, no, no. I don't think they're asking that.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I think they're asking to find out what Scott wants to use.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah, what part do you really actually want to rent?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Well, and I don't -- I don't know -- I don't feel like the awning's worth the same value as a covered, insulated building that has heat in it.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: You may want to vacate some of the area you have now and say, I'll just move that over. I'm not going to pay that much.

SCOTT BULLOCK: Right. Right. I get --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah.

SCOTT BULLOCK: -- that. But --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So...
SCOTT BULLOCK: -- if it was at a lower.
KEITH WESTENGARD: Now I understand.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
SCOTT BULLOCK: If it was at a lower rate of maybe 10 cents a square foot because it's just an awning, then is that an option? Or you guys --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The statute doesn't allow for that.
SCOTT BULLOCK: Huh?
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The statute doesn't allow for that unless you're a 501(c)(3).
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. So go with Scott.
KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I need a motion.
Well, no, just --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: We'll just table it.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Let's just table this till next time.
SCOTT BULLOCK: So I'll just pay my normal --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep.
SCOTT BULLOCK: -- rent.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: But -- yep.
SCOTT BULLOCK: Okay.
Perfect.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Thanks, --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thanks, --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- Scott.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- Scott.
KEITH WESTENGARD: I'll call you, Scott.

5) **Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the contract between Lander County and Brian's BBQ Pit for the operation of the Mountain View Golf Course and restaurant, effective July 1, 2016, through December 31, 2018, and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Five. Discussion and possible action to

approve/disapprove the contract between Lander County and Brian's Barbecue Pit for the operation of the Mountain View Golf Course and restaurant, effective July 1st, 2016, through December 31st, 2018, and all other matters properly related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I spoke with Mr. Garner last night. He wasn't sure if he was going to be here, and I don't see him.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: So you have the -- the lease agreement in front of you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And it's everything we talked about --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes. Been -- gone through the District Attorney's Office.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And if that's approved, I will get ahold of Mr. Garner and have him come in to sign it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Ted, you've looked at this?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I've looked at it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And I put all the terms that -- Keith gave me all the terms. I drew it up. And this is it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: So if -- if you want any terms changed and anything, this is the time.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You have all our normal 30-day clause and everything?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes, it does.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes, it does.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I just have one question.

The agenda item actually says July 1st, 2016, through December 31st, 2018.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And the contract says June 1st, 2016.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So have they been -- is -- is that minute enough so we're still okay?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Just amend the contract.

JEANNE FALZONE: We're doing the contract for the 1st of June, --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, they're --

JEANNE FALZONE: -- and the payment starts July 1st.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The -- the contract -- the contract starts June 1st, but the rent payment starts July 1st because in the month of June is when the county was -- still hadn't finished all of its preconditions.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. So the actual --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The payment --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- contract is July 1st.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Or the billing -- the billing.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Got it.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: He was just there a month early?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right. Well, kind of there.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah. We were still doing our --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: They were --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- our --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- still working on it.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- renovations to it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll make a motion to approve the contract between Lander County and Brian's Barbecue Pit for the operation of the Mountain View Golf Course Restaurant -- Golf Course and restaurant.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second that motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Steve made a -- Steve seconded. Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

AIRPORT

6) **Discussion and possible action regarding the amended lease agreement with Fallon Airmotive regarding equipment at the Battle Mountain Airport, and all other matters related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Six.

Discussion and possible action regarding the amended lease agreement with Fallon Airmotive regarding equipment at the Battle Mountain Airport, and all other matters prop- -- all other matters related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I met with Walt, Monday, and went over it verbally. He only had one question on the -- on the lease that -- unless there's more, Walt. I'm not sure.

And it had to do with the -- all major repair -- or sorry -- contractor will be responsible for normal maintenance of the fuel trucks to include fuel, oil, tire -- tires, engine, chassis fluid, grease, filters, and state recertification fees, anything under a thousand dollars. He wanted to put a time frame on that. Is that annually? Is it biannually? Is it every two years? And we -- we figured annually, that would be acceptable. So that might be the only change we're looking at, unless there's something else.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Questions? Comments?

Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll make motion we approve the amended lease agreement with Fallon Automotive [verbatim] regarding equipment at the Battle Mountain Airport.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Excuse me, Commissioner. If you approve the one that's in the packet, that -- that would not include the -- the modification --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay, the amended --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- that he's asking --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- contract with the modification that the thousand dollars is annually.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Okay. And then, I will change the contract. And if you'll make -- allow the -- the chair or the vice chair to sign as part of that motion. Because they can't sign this one.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And authorize the chair or the vice

chair to sign.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I will second the motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Patsy made a motion. Steve seconded. Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you, Mr. Wardwell.

WALT WARDWELL: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

ASSESSOR

7) Discussion and possible action of granting use of office 137 in the Lander County Administrative Building to the Lander County assessor for the Lander County GIS and mapping services, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Seven. Discussion and possible action of granting use of office 137 in the Lander County Administration Building, Lander County assessor for the Lander County GIS and mapping services, and all other matters properly related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: The assessor approached me several weeks back asking about using what is currently listed as the county engineer's office for her GIS mapping position.

I told her that she needed to bring it to you guys. I didn't have a problem with it. The office is vacant right now. But it's up to you. She does have the current mapping room or IT room.

And I'm not sure if she's here today either.

So --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: How long does she need to use the office

for?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Indefinitely.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh.

KEITH WESTENGARD: She -- there was -- she didn't ask about any specific times.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Jeanne went to see if she's here.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, if we hire a county engineer at some point, we can always look at the other available spaces --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Spaces, yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- too. I mean, it's just changing a name.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes. Hopefully she's here. And she can explain in a little more detail. She did have some concerns over some of the equipment and the value of it being pretty high.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aren't -- aren't there two offices over there that are vacant?

KEITH WESTENGARD: No, just the one.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: (Indiscernible.)

COMMISSIONER WAITS: What happened to Tammy's?

KEITH WESTENGARD: That's the vacant one.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: The other one's Public --

KEITH WESTENGARD: And then right next to her --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- Works.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- is the Public Works.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. The other one's Bert. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We have one upstairs.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Oh. Right. Yeah. There is one upstairs too. That's yours.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The (indiscernible) vendors?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- what do you guys feel?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Why not let her use it --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I agree.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- until --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'm fine.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- until times --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- if -- if we do need that, we need it, then we'll have to make arrangements for her to move someplace else.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Just make a motion.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll make a motion that -- to grant the use of office 137 in the Lander County Administration Building to the Lander County assessor for the Lander County GIS and mapping service.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Steve made a motion.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll second the motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Patsy seconded.

Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MILLS AND CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

JEANNE FALZONE: She'll be here in two minutes.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Oh. I'd --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- much rather have offices full anyway. It's like seeing vacancies in the middle --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- of town. You want everything accounted for and people in that, busy.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

8) Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the purchase of a pickup truck for the Lander County assessor in an amount not to exceed $30,000, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Eight. Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the purchase of a pickup truck for the Lander County assessor in an amount not to exceed 30,000, and all other matters properly related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Once again, the -- the assessor has a vehicle that broke down. It needed to have a new transmission put in it. We got the new transmission put in it. But at the

same time, we discussed looking at getting her a new vehicle, a four-wheel drive vehicle for her -- her field work.

So I told her I would bring it to you. It's currently not in her budget. But we do have the means to pay for it. And then she can get a new vehicle.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: The old vehicle -- my plan with the old vehicle is to put a cage in it and use it as a backup for the mental transport at the hospital. The vehicle we have there is -- is pretty -- in pretty bad shape. They are using it, but it -- it needed a new engine last -- or earlier this year. And they make the mental transports to Reno. Seems like they're getting a little more frequent.

At one point there was two or three a year. I think we're up to six or seven at this point in time.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Does it have to be modified in any way to do that?

KEITH WESTENGARD: The vehicle from her old -- the --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Right.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- assessors. Yeah, we'll put a cage in it, similar to what they put in patrol vehicles. And that's all. It's about a $600 cost. And the vehicle is much more dependable. That way we'll have two vehicles. We won't have to bother the sheriff's department anymore.

And we can take care of the mental transports with our partnership at the hospital.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll make a motion to approve the purchase of a pickup truck for the Lander County assessor in the amount not to exceed $30,000.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second the motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Steve seconded. Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Can we -- Austin, we're going to take a two-minute break.

MITESHELL LANHAM: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

(Break taken between 9:54 a.m. and 10:04 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All right. Call this meeting back to order.

BOARD APPOINTMENTS

9) Discussion and possible action regarding appointment or reappointment of Phil Gray to serve on the Lander County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife (five-person board per Resolution 2016-09, two vacancies) from July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2019, three-year term, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Agenda Item Number 9. Discussion and possible action regarding appointment or reappointment of Phil Gray to serve on the Lander County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife, five-person board per Resolution 2016-09, two vacancies, from July 1st, 2016, through June 30, 2019, three-year term, and all other matters properly related thereto.

There's only one guy.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: One guy and two vacancies?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: All right. I'll make a motion to reappoint Phil Gray to serve on the Lander County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife, from July 1st, 2016, through June 30th, 2019.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second the motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Steve seconded. Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Nay.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Now, for the other --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- opening, do we need to do advertising or just try to find someone to do that?
KEITH WESTENGARD: We should probably put it back out for advertisement.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay, Keith.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: All right.
KEITH WESTENGARD: Got it.
Ten.
You got that? Kathy?
Okay.

10) Discussion and possible action regarding appointment or reappointment to the Lander County Debt Management Board (five-person board), which consists of: two public, one representative of the county, one representative of the school district, and one representative of the General Improvement District, to serve from January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2019, two-year term, as per NRS 350.115, and to consider all of the following applicants to include: a) Louis Lani, General Improvement District, b) Daun Bohall, public, c) Jennifer O'Kane, public; and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Ten. Discussion and possible action regarding appointment or reappointment to the Lander County Debt Management Board, five-person board, which consists of: two public, one representative of the county, one representative of the school district, one repr- -- one representative of the General Improvement District, to serve from January 1st, 2017, through December 31st, 2019, two-year term, as per NRS 350.115, and to consider all of the following applicants to include: a) Louis Lani, General Improvement District, b) Daun Bohall, public, c) Jennifer O'Kane, public.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So we're still short.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Um.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Isn't this --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- isn't this term up in 2017? January 2017? So we'd just be appointing for two months. And we don't have any debt anyway.
So I --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Who --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- would make --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- who -- who's term (indiscernible)?
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Louis' -- Lani's.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Oh. Louis.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Louis Lani's?
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Isn't Daun moving?
COMMISSIONER CLARK: And Daun --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: He's working --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- Bohall is --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- on it.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- moving.
KEITH WESTENGARD: I was hoping he would have been here because I --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.
KEITH WESTENGARD: -- I heard that. It's not confirmed, though, that he's --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I -- I talked --
KEITH WESTENGARD: -- moving.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- with him the other day. And he says that he is working on --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- moving.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I would -- I would recommend that we just defer this until January 2017.
That's my recommendation.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I recommend we readvertise.
JEANNE FALZONE: Runs from January 1st through December 31st.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: I don't think we want to defer it that far. I think we want to make -- you know, hopefully, we can

finish it up this year yet. But...

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We don't have any debt.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, we can readvertise.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep. Can I get a motion?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, I know they haven't met for a while. But they probably -- I mean, who knows -- what they should be meeting.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'm --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- I'm on that board. We met in January, the first of this year.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: It was a five-minute meeting.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And I was -- I was on -- I'm on that too. Not on the board, but I'm --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- there as --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But it usually is --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- January. So we need to have the board set for before January so they can meet. So...

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I'm going to make a motion that we defer this and readvertise and address it when there is vacancies in January.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, not the vacancies in January. We need to readvertise and go from there.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But we'll fill in January.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You want to amend your motion?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: He still wants to fill it in January. And I think we should fill it in December. Hopefully we'll have enough then so it will be set for January.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: That's I think the --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah, (indiscernible).

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Have somebody ready before January. Not wait till January.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Art, you want to amend your motion to fill it in December?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: To fill it in Dec- -- okay. We'll put it back out to advertising and fill it in December so that we're ready in January.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Art made a motion.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug seconded.

Any public comment?

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: John Michael Lawrence, for the record.

Just a comment on this. Having sat in the hospital board meeting last month that Mr. Mills was discussing, there was something brought up that the hospital board needs the debt -- I believe -- correct me if I'm wrong, sir -- that the debt management -- the county debt management needed to approve for an ex- --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: It was --

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- for an expenditure or something. And I'm just curious --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- in terms of --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: There was some discussion at the hospital board meeting that the -- the hospital pharmacy wants to purchase or lease a -- a system called Omnicell to dispense medications.

And because it's a lease, there was some discussion that we may need to convene the debt management board to approve a -- what do you call it? Because it's a -- I can't remember the type of lease it -- that it is.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: I just wanted to --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: But Todd Plimpton was supposed to get with you, Ted, and ask you about whether or not that that needs to be done and find out if we really need to get the debt management board's approval for the hospital to enter into that lease.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Okay. You --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So it's still up in the air. We don't know if we really need to do that or not yet. So...

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: My concern, as a member of the public, is that just that could potentially --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- delay the --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: So there's --
JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- the things that need to happen --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.
JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- at the hospital.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, now, because the board's still filled. It doesn't -- it doesn't go vacant until January; right?
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So there -- there's still a debt management board.
JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any other public comment?
JENNIFER O'KANE: Jennifer O'Kane, for the record.
I do understand that the gentleman is leaving for Oregon and moving out. And the position that I put an intent letter was actually for the public. So is there no way to actually put that seat, you know, the public seat that's available that I put a letter in for. That was a question.
So with that said, thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.
Any other --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Our defer- -- excuse me -- our deferring it, Jennifer, was -- was not not to take action on yours per se because it's all effective the first of January, but the fact we were going to try and get more people on it.
So if you have submitted the letter, and you did, --
JENNIFER O'KANE: I did.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- we don't need to have another letter submitted from her; right?
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: None from her.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: So --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- you're set. It's -- thank you.
JENNIFER O'KANE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any other public --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Is --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- comment?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- is that what you were asking?
JENNIFER O'KANE: Yes.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay. Because -- all right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. With that, all in favor?
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ AND CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

11) Discussion and possible action to appoint Colt Nelson to the Lander County Planning Commission (five-person board, two alternate commissioners, one vacancy), from July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2020, to serve a four-year term, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Number 11. Discussion and possible action to appoint Colt Nelson to Lander County Planning Commission, five-person board, two alternate commissioners, one vacancy, from July 1st, 2016, through June 30, 2020, to serve a four-year term, and all other matters properly related thereto.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll make a motion to appoint Colt Nelson to the Lander County Planning Commission.

COLT NELSON: For the record, Colt Nelson.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll second the motion and say, well, welcome.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We appreciate you putting in for it, Mr. Nelson. And you coming today.

COLT NELSON: I appreciate it. I'm looking forward to the learning opportunity. All right.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: All right.

COLT NELSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thanks, Colt.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Art made a motion. Patsy seconded. Any public comment?

COLT NELSON: That was easy.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

12) **Discussion and possible action regarding the appointment or reappointment of four individuals to serve on the Lander County Convention and Tourism Authority (five-person board, four vacancies), which consists of: one member to represent motel operators, one member to represent hotel operators, one member to represent other commercial interests, one member to represent the county at large, and one commissioner, to serve from July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2016, two-year term, as per NRS 244A.599, and to consider the following applicants to include: a) Ann Miles, currently sits as hotel representative; b) Philip Williams, currently sits as commercial interest representative; c) Richard Ripley, currently sits as motel representative; d) Grady Pierce, at-large; e) Christy Apple-Johnson, currently sits as at-large representative; f) Marcia Forgeron, commercial interests; and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Twelve. Discussion, possible action regarding the appointment or reappointment of four individuals to serve on the Lander County Convention and Tourism Authority, five-person board, four vacancies, which consists of: one member to represent motel operators, one member to represent hotel operators, one member to represent other commercial interests, one member to represent the county at large, and one commissioner, to serve from July 1st, 2016, through June 30th, 2018, two-year term, as per NRS 244A.1- -- or .599, and to consider the following applicants to include: a) Ann Miles, currently sits as hotel representative; b) Philip Williams, currently sits as commercial interest representative; c) Richard Ripley, currently sits as motel representative; d) Grady Pierce, at-large; e) Christy Apple-Johnson, currently sits at a large -- at-large representative; f) Marcia Forgeron, commercial interests; and all other matters properly related thereto.

Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'm going to ask a question.

Hopefully, Counselor, you -- you can clarify -- clarify this for me, because I -- I know we went back out, again, because we didn't advertise properly.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But I still thought we had a couple people that were on -- on terms that didn't expire.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No.

All -- all four -- all four positions were -- in 2014, they were appointed for a two-year team -- two-year term.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: In twenty --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- fourteen.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: They were all appointed for two --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: All four.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- years.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes, for two --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: All four?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- years. So they're -- all the terms have expired.

Now, I'm -- I'm glad you stopped this because I need to -- I -- I've talked to Debra Amens, who's here, and I'd ask her to come up. I talked to Rich Ripley, who -- who's the head of that board at -- at -- at the moment. And they both wanted a staggered board.

So since all four terms -- or all four people and their four terms expire in 2016, in order to get a staggered board, we would have to appoint two of them for a two-year term and two of them for a three-year term.

Now to get the two for the three-year term, we'd have to do a resolution -- a joint resolution.

I -- I do up -- create a resolution. I'd bring it in front of the -- the board to agree to it. And then we'd send it to -- give it to Debra. And Debra would send it to her board. So it'd be kind of like a stipulation because the statute only allows us to appoint a two-year term. But if we do a res- -- a joint resolution, we would be appointing two to a three-year term, which means we'd have a staggered board.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So you want us to -- to approve it for five today and then do the resolution and stagger them? Is that --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, what I -- what I'd like you --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Can we --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- to do --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- do that?
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- is make four appointments today: two for two years and two for three years.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: And you --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And I --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- want the three-year appointments even though we haven't done the resolution yet?
COMMISSIONER WAITS: But -- but there's --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, he's got to know --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: And there's --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- who to put --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- five --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- in the resolution?
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- five appointments.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No. No. No.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The fifth one is a county commissioner.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Oh. Oh.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And Steve still sits on -- as that.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Until January.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
I -- when I read that, I thought that maybe Steve was coming off of it --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Oh, no.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- or something.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, no.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Not until --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, we -- I just --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Not until the end of December.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: You're okay?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I just wanted to give a full explanation of who sits on the board and who represents --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- what --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- interests.
But -- and -- and I've talked this over with Debra how to get a staggered board.
And the two choices are to appoint two of them for a nine-month period and two of them for a two-year period, which who wants to be appointed for nine months?
Or to do two years and three years.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: With the resolution.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And by joint resolution with our board -- with our board and their board, I -- I don't see a problem with that.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. So the question is then: Since we advertised it for two-year terms --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That's what the resolution would be for.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Right. The resolution will offset that. So the motion today will still be for -- for two-year terms.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, two of them would be. I'm ask- --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, how can we change it if the agenda is so specific? -- is what I'm asking.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: With the resolution.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Right.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Because we can't --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- make it a motion.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: But today -- but --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: We can't appoint a three-year terms --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- until the resolution is --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- then --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- done.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- then what I would suggest is that you appoint all four of them to a two-year --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: For two years --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- term.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- and then the resolution.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I will --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- bring in the resolution.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: There you go.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: That's my clarification.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And -- and you're going to have to pick which one of the two will get --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- the three-year term by resolution. And -- and I would -- I would suggest, because it's easier, that the two people that -- that are seeking uncontested seats would get the three-year terms.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And -- and I believe Debra's agreeable with me on that.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DEBRA AMENS: Yes. Debra Amens, for the record.
We did have a discussion of it. And in researching this with some of our members, all -- all four of them were appointed at one time.
So this is a -- a way where we can get to staggered terms, which'll be more efficient. And the joint -- I think the joint resolution will work for us.
The idea of appointing somebody for a nine-month term actually would -- we'd have problems, I think, with the statute, NRS, if we were to do that. So I think this is a -- is a good -- good solution.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
DEBRA AMENS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you, Debra.
DEBRA AMENS: Uh-huh.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: The two that are noncontested, we want to -- can I get a motion?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll make a motion that we approve Ann Miles as the hotel representative and Richard Ripley as motel representative to serve as the two-year term on the Lander County Convention and Tourism Authority.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Patsy made a motion.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug seconded.

Any public comment?

Oh. Hold on.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: John Michael Lawrence, for the record.

Just wanted to point out, in six years you're going to be in the same boat.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Everybody's going to be (indiscernible).

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: No.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Two, three?

JEANNE FALZONE: Three, two.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: No.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The three is a one-time appointment for three years.

It then sits for two-year term. So it could be staggered. Two, two, two.

It's not three, three, and three.

It's one -- one three-year term.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

Any other public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MILLS AND CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

Then we have --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, I'll make a motion to appoint Grady Pierce as a Lander County Convention and Tourism Authority

member at large and Marcia Forgeron as -- to represent commercial interests for the Lander County Convention and Tourism Authority for two-year --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- for two-year terms.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll second that.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Doug made a motion. Art seconded. Any public comment?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I do. I have a comment.

And -- and it's nothing that's by ordinance, but for years and years, we have had two representatives from the southern district on here.

And I -- I'd hate to see us -- I'd hate to have Austin lose that. Highway 50 brings in a lot of your tourism dollars. And we are extremely active down there.

And I know Mr. Williams has -- has one excellent reputation for not only not missing meetings but certainly being there all the time, but all the extra activities, the chukar tournaments an things that they do.

And speaking specifically for the south, I -- I would like to see him continue. I'd feel very disappointed if we would lose this -- this representation for that southern area.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any other public comment?

PHILIP WILLIAMS: Yeah, Chairman Bakker, this is Philip Williams up here in Austin. When does this appointment take place?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Uh.

PHILIP WILLIAMS: As of today?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes.

PHILIP WILLIAMS: Well, I don't think it's agendized right. But anything you guys want to do is fine with me.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

PHILIP WILLIAMS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

Any other public comment?

DEBRA AMENS: So as counsel, I am very neutral on who all

of the board members are.

And I know some of the folks that -- I don't know Grady but -- and I respect them greatly.

As a citizen from the south, I'm going to also kind of reiterate what Patsy had said.

Pancho, he -- he really knows the businesses. And those businesses -- tourism is even more of the -- the economics of Austin. And we really rely on it in terms of the motels and restaurants ---

He's got a real hand on that and a real involvement. He's helped the motels with reporting for taxes and all of those kind of thing.

So I would just say, having somebody from the south is -- is an important asset on that board.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Isn't Ann Miles from the south?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yep.

DEBRA AMENS: Someone in the Austin --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Isn't --

DEBRA AMENS: -- area.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: She's --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- Ann Miles --

DEBRA AMENS: She is.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- from the south? Right.

DEBRA AMENS: She's in Kingston.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

Thank you.

Any other public comment?

PHILIP WILLIAMS: Chairman Bakker, this is Philip Williams again.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

PHILIP WILLIAMS: Does Mrs. Forgeron have a county business license and a state business license?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yes, she does.

PHILIP WILLIAMS: Okay.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

Any other public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ AND CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Opposed?
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Nay. And it's no direct correlation, Ms. Forgeron, because I appreciate you stepping up.
But, I'm -- I'm -- my loyalty lies in the south on this one. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.
Motion carries.

13) **Discussion and possible action regarding appointment or reappointment of individuals to serve on the Lander County Economic Development Authority (nine-person board, three vacancies), which consist of: six public, two state/federal government, and one commissioner, from July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2018, two-year terms, per Resolution 2008-05, and to consider the following applicants to include: a) Jay Fischer, b) Sarah Edgar, c) George Fennemore; and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thirteen. Discussion, possible action regarding appointment or reappointment of individuals to serve on Lander County Economic Development Authority, nine-person board, three vacancies, which consist of: six public, two state/federal government, and one commissioner, from July 1st, 2016, through June 30th, 2018, --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Motion.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- two-year terms, per Resolution 2008-05, and to consider the following applicants to include: a) Jay Fischer, b) Sarah Edgar, c) George Fennemore; and all other matters properly related thereto.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay. I'll make a motion to appoint Jay Fischer, Sarah Edgar -- Sarah Edgar, George Fennem- -- and George Fennemore to the Lander County Economic Authority --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: I second --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- for a two-year term.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- that motion.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Patsy seconded.
Any public comment?

(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Motion carries.

(MEETING OF THE LANDER COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS RECESSED.)

(MEETING OF THE LANDER COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD CONVENED.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I now have to recess the meeting of the Lander County Board of Commissioners and convene the meeting of the Lander County Liquor Board -- convene the Lander County Liquor Board.

14) Discussion regarding issuance of liquor licenses within Lander County and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Discussion in regard -- regarding issuance of liquor licenses within Lander County and all other matters properly related thereto.

I had this put on here, which in our ordinance we are -- I'll let Ted explain it, actually.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You'll -- you'll let me explain it?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah, the ordin- -- we're lacking our ordinance -- our liquor ordinance.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It -- in -- in the liquor ordinance, there is no provision if -- if a person wants to have, for instance, a -- a wedding reception in their backyard, there's no provision in it that allows someone to get a temporary license to sell liquor in the backyard.

And people have just been doing it on their own.

This came up because one person went -- went to the sheriff's department and -- and -- and -- and sought it. And, you know, and they -- the response was, no one's ever asked for that. We don't

want to start anything, even though it's been -- it's been proceeding that way with people doing it on their own for years.

So we thought we'd bring this to the -- the attention of the board to see if they want to do something about it and -- and make a provision, basically, it would be to modify the ordinance or not to modify the ordinance to allow for that provision.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So at this point, the only ones that have been coming forward are the ones that have business licenses or the ones that -- that are nonprofit and they're putting on a --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Nobody's even come forward. Nobody seeks permission to do it. They just do it.

JEANNE FALZONE: Well, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, I know --

JEANNE FALZONE: -- businesses --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- we've had non- --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The business -- the businesses do.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah, --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- and the nonprofits.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- but you've got to have a liquor license to do it --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And the nonprofits do when they have --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- a special New Year's Eve party or whatever. Yeah. Okay.

I guess we expect if you're having a private reception in your own yard, you shouldn't be charging.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, the -- the ordinance stands silent. I -- I don't know what -- what -- how to interpret the silence, to be quite candid with you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It'd be more like if somebody wanted a business with a liquor license to come on their private property for an event to sell alcohol.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That would be the provider's responsibility to have a liquor license; right?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: If --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah, but his liquor --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- I'm --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- license is only for one area; right?
JENNIFER O'KANE: Correct.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right, so --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: If he gets a liquor license --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- you guys go to the sheriff's department --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- it's for this --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- to get a temporary, --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- address.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You go to the sheriff's department to get an off-site temporary --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- unless you have a catering license or whatnot. And then that -- that would be something we'd have to put in the ordinance so they have to go to the --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- sheriff's department to get a temporary.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right now there's nothing in there.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So they don't have to do anything.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: No, I understand what you're saying. I'm just -- because -- just because they have a liquor license, doesn't mean they can go --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- to someplace itself.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Exactly.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right. So they got to go --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: There's two --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- to the sheriff's department.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- kinds of liquor licenses.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: (Indiscernible.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Unless they have both.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Oh. I --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: An on-site, off-site -- well, or catering.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Catering. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Ron, your thoughts?

SHERIFF RON UNGER: My thoughts are on this, we've got to be very careful, I think, when -- when we're issuing these temporary liquor licenses.

And I think it needs to be to somebody that has a business or --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: They have to have a liquor license.

SHERIFF RON UNGER: Exactly.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

SHERIFF RON UNGER: What I don't want to see -- and this is where it could come in for the legal is -- I don't want John Doe citizen, that doesn't have a license or a business, coming up to me saying, I want a liquor license, a temporary liquor license to -- because I'm going to Ron Unger's house to have a party and we're going sell booze there.

That opens up a lot of liability to the county because if they wind up doing that -- if I give them a license and they're not a business person or any of this stuff, they wind up there -- I have -- we've lost control, in other words. It -- it's a one-shot deal. We can't control who they're selling the booze to. And if somebody goes out, underage or whatever, and gets in an accident or whatever, I think the liability would fall back on the liquor board and the sheriff's office --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It --

SHERIFF RON UNGER: -- for issuing this.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- it would only pertain -- it's my understanding that it would only pertain to those --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Who have liquor licenses.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- businesses that are already licensed.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah, because the law only pro- -- allows that -- you have to have a liquor license to sell alcohol anyway. So this only pertains to the businesses or any -- who has the liquor license.

SHERIFF RON UNGER: So it would pertain --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: John Doe that --
SHERIFF RON UNGER: -- to the ones that have --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- does not have a liquor license --
SHERIFF RON UNGER: -- on-site liquor licenses.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- can't go get a temporary liquor license.
SHERIFF RON UNGER: It would pertain mainly to the people who just have on-site liquor licenses --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.
SHERIFF RON UNGER: -- that wants to do an off-site.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yes.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes, to be quite candid. Yes.
SHERIFF RON UNGER: Okay. I just wanted to make that clear --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And -- and --
SHERIFF RON UNGER: -- because I can see some issues.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- it should be known that if -- if you modify the ordinance to allow that -- that situation, that also opens up that person's house and premises for walk-throughs by the sheriff's department.
SHERIFF RON UNGER: That is correct.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Hm.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: So...
SHERIFF RON UNGER: And I guess what Ted said, is if they -- if we do have a liquor license and it's going to be in Patsy's backyard, all right, and we get a compliant from a neighbor, we go through -- if those people were selling in the backyard but still utilizing that house, then the sheriff's office can go through and they can search your whole house or go through that whole house.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, walk-through. They --
SHERIFF RON UNGER: So that's just some other issues. And if we do do something like that, I think -- Ted and I have discussed it -- there's got to be some rules and regulations that need to be followed on this.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right. It's got to be precise language.
SHERIFF RON UNGER: Exactly.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: So -- but it -- it's -- it -- it came up. We thought we'd bring it to the -- the board's attention. And the board can think about it, reflect on it. You can deliberate on it. You could table it. I mean, you can -- you can do anything you wish. But -- but it's a situation that --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So, okay. So if it's an on-site and off-site, both, they can do that?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No. Off-site just means --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Is that what you're saying?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- they can buy the beer there.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I thought off-site meant that you could pick up the liquor and walk outside --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- with it.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: You don't have to drink it there.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right. It doesn't mean they can sell --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- it.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It's doesn't give them approval to go to their house and sell it out of --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- there.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No, they have to go to the sheriff's department and get a temporary application.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

SHERIFF RON UNGER: For like at the park --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

SHERIFF RON UNGER: -- or whatever the case may be.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And they -- it has that address. And it's the date.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Even if they --

SHERIFF RON UNGER: It's a liquor --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- have it --

SHERIFF RON UNGER: -- license.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- on-site. They have to do --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Right.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- that.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: The only way you can --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You've got to have a catering license to go -- without having to go through --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- the sheriff's department.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So what's your thoughts?
COMMISSIONER WAITS: So we don't need to change it.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I don't think we should change it.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You don't have to.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It's -- it's an issue that came up and -- and this is -- this is government. You need to talk about it.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
We're good, Ron.
SHERIFF RON UNGER: Thank you. And that was Ron Unger, for the record, by the way.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I was going to tell you.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thanks, Ron.
SHERIFF RON UNGER: I knew you was. Thank you.

(MEETING OF THE LANDER COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD ADJOURNED.)

(MEETING OF THE LANDER COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS RECONVENED.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. I adjourn the meeting of the Lander County Liquor Board and reconvene the meeting of the Lander County Board of Commissioners.

PUBLIC WORKS

15) Update from the Battle Mountain civic center manager regarding operations and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Public works. Update from the Battle Mountain civic center manager regarding operations and all other matters properly related thereto.

ROBIN SMITH: Robin Smith, for the record.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Good morning, Robin.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: How you doing, Robin?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Good morning, Robin.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Good morning.

ROBIN SMITH: So as far as operations and what's going on at the civic center, we've been pretty cool with Newmont meetings this month.

Right now we've got PTA setting up a event, hosting 300 people today.

We've got Halloween party coming up.

This month's pretty full. Next month's is real full. We're doing good.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Good.

You guys need anything?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I think that's the next agenda item.

ROBIN SMITH: Not --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

ROBIN SMITH: -- for 15, but --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Isn't it the --

ROBIN SMITH: -- for 16.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- chukar tournament? Yeah.

ROBIN SMITH: Beg pardon.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: The chukar tournament?

ROBIN SMITH: Chukar tournament comes up in November.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: November 8th.

ROBIN SMITH: And we've got Christmas parties, community Thanksgiving parties.

Building's filling up full. It's staying busy. We're doing good. Enjoying it.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So is the -- the painting at the

building getting in your way at all? Is that going okay?

ROBIN SMITH: Nope. I got them under control.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Oh, good.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No?

Robin, I think you're doing a good job.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thank you for your update, Robin.

ROBIN SMITH: Thank you.

16) Discussion and possible action regarding the approval/disapproval of the revised rental rates for the Battle Mountain Civic Center, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Sixteen. Discussion and possible action regarding approval/disapproval of the revised rental rates for the Battle Mountain civic center and all other matters properly related thereto.

ROBIN SMITH: Still Robin Smith, for the record.

The revised rental rates.

We're going to keep funerals free to the community.

And there's some verbiage on the revised rental rate down at the bottom. It stated, early setup fee prior to 4:00 p.m. the day before event will be $100. That verbiage needs to be changed to early setup fee after 4:00 p.m.

Because it's before 4:00 p.m., they've got the whole building for a whole day for $100. That just -- it doesn't make sense.

So I'd like to make those two changes.

And then on private events, the one-third of the auditorium, the current fee is 175. I don't understand why for a private event it would be 175. And if you look on the business meetings for a third of the auditorium, it's 200. I think they should be both be 200.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I agree.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I agree.

ROBIN SMITH: And also, for private events, on the current fee schedule, it's 350. Business meetings, two-thirds of the auditorium is 375. Again, doesn't make sense.

I think they should both be 350 so everything just flows the same.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Consistency, yeah.

ROBIN SMITH: Yeah.

The damage deposits, everything else is the same. I just made those couple changes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Commissioner Bakker, while we're on the -- the topic of fee schedules, I do have a question before you get to any motions.

When we originally took the civic center over, the discussion was that we would honor the past contracts that are the contracts that are in place.

We did honor the human-powered bicycle race contract that was in place.

There was no charge on the civic center. That was a $5,000 loss to the county for the rental of the building.

We do have the chukar feed coming up. This is a $2,000 loss to the county. My question is, I think we should charge for it, but I need your --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: When we said we'd honor the contracts, we said we'd honor the dates that were reserved. We never said we were giving free -- that people weren't s- -- not allowed to not pay -- or we weren't going to charge them. So...

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, the -- it's my understanding the context of -- of the board was that -- that you would honor everything for the next few months.

So we had a few months' context.

And -- and November would be, like, five months --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- after we took it over. So.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: So that's why Keith's bringing that up.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, and we said we'd honor the days that were booked, not give --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- free rental.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, and I said -- that's why

he's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: We never --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- bringing it up.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah, that's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- said that.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- why I'm bringing it up. I -- I -- I just want some direction. Like I said, we did honor one. And it was a $5,000 loss because it was a ten-day rental.

ROBIN SMITH: It was a ten-day rental.

However, is Convention and Tourism -- are they nonprofit? Because I don't see where they have the nonprofit status.

Although she says -- Paula says that they are. If they are indeed nonprofit, then that would fall under $200 a day.

But I don't -- I don't see where they have the nonprofit status. So that's something that we need to look into also.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah. Well, I'll talk to Debra. They're going to have to show me their nonprofit status.

ROBIN SMITH: Right. Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah, but if they're an -- they are an advisory board set up by NRS.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And it's through the county. So it's -- it would be like us charging Road and Bridge to have a meeting there.

I mean, they -- they are part of the county.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, they're --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- autonomous.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah. They're autonomous --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: They're autonomous.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- from county commissioners.

ROBIN SMITH: The --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Road and --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- Bridge is --

ROBIN SMITH: I mean, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes, but we -- we still appoint them. We still have control because --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, we have no control.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, not --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You just appoint.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- as far as -- as their -- their committee. They're -- they're not an advisory board. They are --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- a statutory board.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: And I understand that.
But it's still set up by NRS and through Lander County.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is -- is this a board that can't afford to pay to -- for the use?
ROBIN SMITH: As far as I know, they have plenty of money.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Because the last --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, we -- but -- but that isn't something that we ask when we charge people. That's --
ROBIN SMITH: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- that's not --
ROBIN SMITH: You're right.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- the way we're going.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.
ROBIN SMITH: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: We're saying: Is it indeed part of our government that we don't charge?
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Another way --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: That's -- that's where I'm coming from.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Another way to look at this would be the way we do building permits where we've come to the conclusion that we don't do building per- -- permits for free for other county entities either.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Do they charge the schools?
ROBIN SMITH: Yes. The school pays $5,000 --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, they were --
ROBIN SMITH: -- a year for the use --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- given no --
ROBIN SMITH: -- of the building.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- love lost to the school when they were charging them all these years.
ROBIN SMITH: Yep. I mean, so it's kind of the same difference.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And -- and our employees are still there. Rita and Robin were there for the bicycle race. They were working.

So not only did we lose the rental of the building, but we lo- -- the wages were paid by the county. So before we go to the next venture, I would like to charge for this because we still pay Robin. And she's there sometimes 4:00 -- 4:00 o'clock in the morning preparing for these events --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I -- I understand --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- and cleaning --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- where you're coming --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- up --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- from.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- after the events.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And it's because of all our history here. And we're in this situation because we wanted to be in this situation.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I just want to be sure that we're okay with government.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We wanted to be in this situation?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: That's what we voted for.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: How did we want to be in this situation?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Because we voted to do that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think you're -- you're forgetting the history.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Keith.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Keith, I say charge. What do you guys say?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: If -- you mentioned --

KEITH WESTENGARD: I --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- you mentioned a contract. Do we have a contract with anybody on the use of the rec center?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Civic --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Civic --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- center.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- center.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: The civic center.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I don't --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: You mentioned -- you mentioned that in order to follow the contract, we didn't charge for the bicycle race.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Oh, on --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Is there -- is there a contract? Or is it just --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: A rental agreement.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It was verbal.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I'm sorry. I misworded that. It was -- it was the agreement for them to use the civic center.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: It's not a contract.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: All right. So we don't -- all right.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And this was penciled in by -- by Paula in the past. And my understanding, she's also penciled it in for -- for next year already as well, which we are going to charge for completely 100 percent.

I --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Again, --

KEITH WESTENGARD: This is not -- this is not in retaliation, Commissioner Waits. I -- I just want what's fair for Lander County. And I think it's fair that we charge.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, again, we did say we were not going to charge. So the discussion shouldn't be, are we going to charge? Because they should pay. The discussion should be, do you not want to charge them. But if there's nothing to decide here today, they should pay. Because that was the discussion. The only thing that we said we'd honor is the booking dates. We never said you'd get it for free. We'd said we'd honor those days that you have reserved, not for free.

KEITH WESTENGARD: But --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: In the --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- in the booking --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- contracts.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- date --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No, that wasn't once said for free.

KEITH WESTENGARD: But in the booking date, it showed no charge.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: That wasn't the --

KEITH WESTENGARD: So --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- understanding that they perceive to this commission, though.

KEITH WESTENGARD: No.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: They didn't. My -- my --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It's --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- fault. I'll --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- done.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- take the blame on --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No, not you. They sat here. They did not at one time perceive --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- it was for free.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Last year, I had a conversation with one of the members of fair and rec. And there was $980,000 in their account. And then the second time I talked to them, there was $600,000. So we're not -- we're not dealing with needy people here.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It's --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Oh.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: That's --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Not at this point.

One other thing we might consider -- I'm just throwing this out there, but as we've discussed the rates and things, is if we do is have multiday rentals, that we -- that we give kind of a break for long --

ROBIN SMITH: Are you talking --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- stays.

ROBIN SMITH: -- like the chukar dinner's on a Thursday night. She's got half a day Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, the event on --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'm -- I'm --

ROBIN SMITH: -- Saturday, --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'm thinking the bicy- --

ROBIN SMITH: -- Sunday?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- you said the bicycle race people had it for ten days?

ROBIN SMITH: They did have it for --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: That's --

ROBIN SMITH: -- ten days.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- that's -- that's a long rental. And I --
ROBIN SMITH: That is a --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- think for --
ROBIN SMITH: -- long rental.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- something long like that, we could consider a -- a discount for a long rental because --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Oh, they got a discount.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Huh?
KEITH WESTENGARD: They got a big discount.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: They --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: They got it for free.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- they certainly got a discount.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, they did. But -- but if somebody does rent the -- the facility for ten days, I -- I mean that's a lot -- that's a long time to rent it. And I think maybe --
ROBIN SMITH: It is.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- maybe we --
ROBIN SMITH: And I understand.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- can --
ROBIN SMITH: And --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- consider it, a discount for that lengthy of a stay, possibly.
ROBIN SMITH: That's certainly something that can be visited.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: But --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Rent it for five days, you get the sixth day free.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So...
KEITH WESTENGARD: I think I've got -- received clear direction on this. And I thank you for it.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep. Thank you, Keith.
ROBIN SMITH: So are we charging or are we not?
KEITH WESTENGARD: We're charging. Yes.
ROBIN SMITH: Are we charging 500 or 200? We need to find --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You --

ROBIN SMITH: -- out.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, you guys need to clarify the 501(c)(3).
ROBIN SMITH: Okay.
So if I get proof from her, a copy --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Unless --
ROBIN SMITH: -- of her --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- someone makes a motion not to charge. But besides that, the -- the agreement was no one -- no one ever said they got it for free.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I have a question. Funerals are free?
ROBIN SMITH: Funerals are free.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: As long as there's no serving of alcohol?
ROBIN SMITH: Yeah.
This --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I believe it says that.
ROBIN SMITH: I believe it does.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It does say that in there.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any free events --
ROBIN SMITH: No alcohol will be permitted.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yes.
ROBIN SMITH: Guidelines for free use of facility. Uses for the --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: So --
ROBIN SMITH: -- good of the entire community. No alcohol will be permitted.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: And then we -- we kick over to a different column if there's going to be alcohol, food, whatever.
Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep.
ROBIN SMITH: Alcohol and funerals, I'm sorry, are a bad idea. Do that at home.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Thank you, Robin.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thanks, Robin.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So I -- we needed a motion to accept the new rates and the amendments.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I'll make a motion to accept the new rates and the amendments.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Art made a motion. Doug seconded.

Any public comment?

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: I do.

ROBIN SMITH: So do I just stay here for this next one too?

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: John Michael Lawrence, for the record, again.

Just want to state as member of the public that -- and I don't know the history on this and tit for tat. But it seems that the -- the people coming in -- the chukar tournament coming in -- in the following -- with this short of a notice and coming in with the assumption that they're not going to be charged, that this might be something that, yes, it's going to cost the county a couple -- a couple grand to allow them to use the place. But at the same time in the -- in the spirit of goodwill and continuing it, that these -- they should have a good advance notice, you know, that this -- so that everybody's on the same page and to avoid any ill will, irregardless of whether or not they can afford it or not. To me, that's completely irrelevant.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Michael, just a quick clarification, people themselves won't be charged for it.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: True.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah. It will be the group that's putting --

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: The group.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- it on.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: The group that's putting it on.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Right. Right.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Yeah. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you. Thanks for your comments.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any other --

KEITH WESTENGARD: And it'll be a double loss to us if we would not charge for the -- the civic center. And then we would be paying the wages of the two employees that are going to be there, setting up and -- and tearing down.

So I'm looking at this from a financial standpoint, fiscal standpoint for the community because we -- you still pay the --

the people.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: On the other hand, if that's something you wanted to consider, you could ask their volunteers. Because that -- that board works constantly through that whole chukar tournament. So if you wanted to ask for their -- just say, hey, it's yours. But it's yours bare. I mean, --

ROBIN SMITH: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- just something to think about if you did. That -- because, like Michael says, it is short notice. But it's -- I mean, there's some other considerations too. And they are bringing in a -- a lot of extra people. And that's the whole point of the event is what it does for our economics --

ROBIN SMITH: Right. And --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- in Battle Mountain.

ROBIN SMITH: -- they do -- she does have a crew that sets up and tears down and cleans. I mean, she does. She has help for that.

But --

KEITH WESTENGARD: But we still have --

ROBIN SMITH: -- Keith --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- a county representative.

ROBIN SMITH: -- is right. We are there and we are --

KEITH WESTENGARD: It's our --

ROBIN SMITH: -- helping --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- building.

ROBIN SMITH: -- also.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: One other comment on it is that we have kind of set a precedent by allowing the bicycle ra- -- the bicycle crew to go without being charged.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: We didn't set a precedent.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: We were kind of pulled into that one.

ROBIN SMITH: Well, and --

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: I'm just -- but from the chukar group's point of view, looking at it afterwards, is going to be l- -- if I was in their shoes, I'm going to look at it and say, wait a second.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: We didn't -- we didn't set the precedent. Fair and rec board, the outgoing manager of the

civic center set it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And it's the same group that was -- that's --

ROBIN SMITH: Right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- being charged.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Not the --

ROBIN SMITH: Right.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- new group.

ROBIN SMITH: What you have to understand is, Paula, who was convention and tourism, used to manage the civic center. So any of her events that were scheduled there were scheduled for free because she was overseeing that. So that's where the difference comes in.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

Any other public comment?

JENNIFER O'KANE: Jennifer O'Kane, for the record.

Great job.

ROBIN SMITH: Thank you.

JENNIFER O'KANE: I just wanted to also tell you that.

ROBIN SMITH: Thank you.

JENNIFER O'KANE: For the record, I was here when you all took over. I just want to put that out there that, yes, you guys took the civic center on yourself, if I want to bring that to the table. So this is part of what you guys are doing.

And I just wanted to put that forth.

Again, what -- what Mr. Lawrence said, we have been putting out there, since I've been here for a very long time, the chukar tournament. And there's a lot of businesses that do live off of that, whether it be Austin, Kingston, and here. They do pay a lot of money to us. I understand that you guys work a lot of overtime. And you work a lot. I see you there all the time.

But what if you work closely with the tourism and see if Paula can put in extra help to where their committee can actually work those events so it doesn't affect --

ROBIN SMITH: Her -- her committee does work those events.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Okay.

ROBIN SMITH: Her -- she does have a committee that sets up chairs and works them. She does.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Right.

ROBIN SMITH: That's -- that's not really the issue here.

It's just the fact she had these rental agreements set in place --

JENNIFER O'KANE: For years. Yeah.

ROBIN SMITH: -- and the fees waived.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Correct.

ROBIN SMITH: It's -- we don't give the building away to the high school.

I mean, it's -- I realize she's putting heads in beds. But she's pocketing this money. Yes, the room tax and whatnot, absolutely.

But the county's also taking a loss on the building. I'm sorry. I don't think it's right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, --

JENNIFER O'KANE: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- Robin.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thanks, Robin.

Any other --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- public comment?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Mr. Chairman. I -- I would like to say one additional thing. It keeps coming up that the county took it. The county took it. The county took it. And I want to put some clarification out there.

Because I went to the meeting. I asked the board to --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I was there.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- keep -- and you were there too. I mean, I literally asked them, do you need me to beg you to keep the civic center?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I think you were begging, honestly. And they still didn't want it.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But we were way too late.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And it -- well --

JENNIFER O'KANE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, they started it when they wanted to --

KEITH WESTENGARD: But we --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- give it back.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- even talked negotiations in the meeting with some of the terms. We were -- I was willing to

take their changes back to this board and -- and try to make things right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And let's not forget they put it on their agenda.

KEITH WESTENGARD: So --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: They started the whole snowball.

KEITH WESTENGARD: So --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Ted, how many times did we have to rewrite the contract?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Four times.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.

And that still --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: They still didn't want it.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- that still did not appease anyone.

KEITH WESTENGARD: We did try to make things right. It may have been a long -- a long wait, like you say, Commissioner Waits. But we did try to make things right so that they would keep operation of the civic center. So I just want that out there. We did try.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We did try.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- thank you, Keith.

Art made a motion. Doug seconded.

Right?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

Any other public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ AND COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

17) **Discussion and possible action regarding the maintenance on the Battle Mountain civic center building located at 625 S. Broad Street, Battle Mountain, Nevada 89820, and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Seventeen. Discussion and possible action regarding the maintenance on Battle Mountain civic center building located at 625 South Broad Street, Battle Mountain, Nevada 89820, and all other matters properly related thereto.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Looks nice. Nice paint job.

ROBIN SMITH: It does look nice. They're still working on it. I'm being a little nitpicky about it because we were -- we had nothing booked last week. And they were supposed to be there on Monday. They drug their feet every day. I got an excuse.

So I have been out there every day on top of them, making sure -- we've had Newmont meetings the last two days. Their noise has interrupted the meetings. So it was a little bit of an inconvenience this week. But I've been on top of them, slave-driving them pretty good. They're nice guys.

The paint job does look good. We've got some beautiful reflective white paint on the lettering. I can't wait to see it with the lights on it at night. It should stand out really good.

I would like to talk to you guys about ripping out the junipers and the wild roses and the flower beds and maybe kind of doing a desert landscape with some gravel, a little less maintenance.

We had a huge black widow problem from those junipers. I killed eight of them in, like, three days, all around the front doors of the civic center.

I called Quality Transportation. A load of leach rock is, ballpark, $210 delivered. Rita and I are willing to do all the work. I just want to know if you guys are okay with us moving forward with that.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I can tell you I'm -- I'm all in favor of the desert landscape, the xeriscape idea.

ROBIN SMITH: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I know we're going to be doing that -- some more of that at the hospital. And if you want, I -- I would kind of like to see some little coordination on how it looks along with the hospital and maybe kind of what we've got out here at the courthouse.

ROBIN SMITH: Absolutely. We'd like to talk to Newmont and see if we can get some big rocks donated.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Absolutely. I'm all in favor of xeri-- xeriscape.

ROBIN SMITH: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We need a motion for this?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It's just maintenance; isn't it?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It's maintenance.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah, it's just maintenance. Yeah.

KEITH WESTENGARD: There might be -- there -- and I believe it's cov- -- we should have it in the budget. I think. We've got -- yeah, so I think we're okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I'd say just coordinate with Bert and Keith.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.

ROBIN SMITH: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Also, so you don't have to do all that work yourself.

I think we talked to you before about getting the con crew out there to help you with --

ROBIN SMITH: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- that.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: And --

ROBIN SMITH: Yeah. I'm more --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- do that.

ROBIN SMITH: -- than happy to let them rip those junipers out.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And all the spiders.

ROBIN SMITH: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We've --

ROBIN SMITH: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- had on our agenda a while back that beautiful statue too that we'll probably be coming back to visit. Maybe we'll have extra room to put something like that there.

ROBIN SMITH: Very nice. Perfect.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

ROBIN SMITH: All right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you for bringing that before us.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You need --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We appreciate it.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Anything else from us?
ROBIN SMITH: I think I'm --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thank you.
ROBIN SMITH: -- good.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: You have Raid?
ROBIN SMITH: Beg pardon?
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you have Raid?
ROBIN SMITH: Yes. It's my best friend these days.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Big boots.
ROBIN SMITH: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All right. Thank you, Robin.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Thank you.
ROBIN SMITH: All right. Thanks guys.

18) Update from the Public Works director regarding status of projects and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Update from the public works director regarding status of projects and all other matters properly related thereto.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Bertolo.
BERT RAMOS: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Good morning, Bert.
BERT RAMOS: Bert Ramos, for the record.
So a lot going on, but not a lot to update on yet.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let her rip, Bert. Let her rip.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So the B looks good on the BM.
BERT RAMOS: Yeah, I figured I'd do that since it start- -- that's what my name starts with.
No, we're getting to the M, but it -- that mountain's a lot steeper than it looks. And there's only me and one other guy doing it. And he's been sick for the last couple of days. So haven't been able to get back up there. But we will be back up there and attack it and clean it up.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: What about the A in Austin?
BERT RAMOS: Really, Sean?
I will say this, that's a lot easier to get to. And I -- I

would be game for that. It's -- yeah, you can access it from the top. And I'd have no problem painting that because I don't know what the school's been up to. They've painted it in the past.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh.

BERT RAMOS: But I don't know that they have the student count now to -- to go attack that. And I'd -- I'd be willing to put us up for that.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: No.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Wow.

BERT RAMOS: I like it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thanks, Bert.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thanks, Bert.

BERT RAMOS: Flew through that one too.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hold on.

Nineteen.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We're still looking for an extra cabinet that you have that you were going to bring over to the airport in Austin.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Go to the --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Just --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- old courthouse.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- just a reminder.

BERT RAMOS: Okay.

Yeah. We can make a run by there.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

BERT RAMOS: I have the key in my coat pocket, matter of fact, Patsy. So when we're done here, we can drive over there.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Perfect.

19) **Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove utilizing the existing contract with Day Engineering for the purple pipe project at Well 6 for rehab work at Well 3 and Well 6, and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Nineteen. Discussion and possible action

to approve/disapprove the utilization the existing contract with Day Engineering for the purple pipe project at Well 6 for rehab work at Well 3 and Well 6, and all other matters properly related thereto.

BERT RAMOS: I think I got Marty here too. So is what we're doing is Well 6 and Well 3 were both budgeted for -- to -- for the rehab projects.

And right now, we're currently kind of waiting on some numbers to come back from Well 6.

So I'm holding off before we want to dump that much money into the pipe going out to the golf course. Because if we can feed that into the system for twelve months out of the year, then there's no reason to spend that money on the system. But until we get these results back, we can't be certain on that. So we're holding off on it. And I want to move over here to the -- to the Well 3 project and get it up and moving.

And like I say, it's budgeted for and just shouldn't be a problem to move forward with it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's all?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: How much extra money?

BERT RAMOS: On the --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Additional?

BERT RAMOS: No, it's -- it's going to be part of the -- since we're holding off on Well 6, we're taking that -- the engineering money that -- that it would take to finish that Well 6, the purple pipe project out there, and we're using it for -- it's -- it's inclusive in the project --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. So --

BERT RAMOS: -- we're working on.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- we'll address that one later?

BERT RAMOS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

BERT RAMOS: So if we move forward with Well 6, then there'll be additional engineering that we move from there to Well 3, if that makes sense.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Does this require an addendum to the existing contract?

BERT RAMOS: If -- Marty?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: He's kind of hiding out back there.

BERT RAMOS: I would say it would.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I would thi- -- I would think so too, but --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah, it's not a change order. It's just an addendum.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It's addendum.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
MARTY UGALDE: Yes.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.
MARTY UGALDE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Marty, state your name.
BERT RAMOS: I got one right.
MARTY UGALDE: Marty Ugalde, with Day Engineering. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. What were you -- what did you just say?
BERT RAMOS: I got one right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah. You get more than a couple right. So I need a -- a motion, please.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: So in the motion, if you could put: To approve utilizing exist- -- existing contract by addendum.
If you could put those words in, by addendum, it would be helpful.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay. I'll make a motion to approve util- -- utilizing the existing contract by addendum with Day Engineering for the purple pipe project at Well 6 to re- -- for rehab work at Well 3 and Well 6.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll second that.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Art seconded.
Any public comment?
(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ AND COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
MARTY UGALDE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.
Bert, keep up the good work.

BERT RAMOS: Oh, I'm still on here, buddy. I'm not --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: He's still --
BERT RAMOS: -- going anywhere.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- here.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: He's --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: He's on --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- not done yet.

20) Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove amendment to existing Day Engineering contract for rehab of three existing sewer lift stations, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove amendment to existing Day Engineering contract for rehab of three existing sewer lift stations, and all other matters properly related thereto.

You have a lot to update next time.

BERT RAMOS: I will.

So is what we did is we -- and I don't want to put solid numbers on this. I'm going to give you guys some rough numbers because I don't know exactly the cost of the building. We have a bar screen that is currently existing in one of our lift stations is all it is, is a grate that the sewer flows over. And it catches the -- the bigger stuff that may be an issue.

So for safety standards and stuff like that, they don't like people going down there and cleaning them anymore. So we removed a building that we were going to have built over -- to make a new bar screen. We removed that from this as a cost savings to the county. And that's what we're using here for -- that's kind of what's changing.

MARTY UGALDE: Second Street water line.

BERT RAMOS: What's that?

MARTY UGALDE: The Second Street water line. That's the addendum. That's the adjustment.

BERT RAMOS: Oh. What we're getting to. Sorry.

MARTY UGALDE: Yeah.

BERT RAMOS: So the money that we saved from that, which is a rough number, $200,000, we're -- we would like to use for the Second Street water line. Since we're putting that truck fill

in there, we would like to replace that water main while we're there. And we're repaving that street. And it is old asbestos water line. We'd like to pull it out before we put new street down and -- and make that right all in one shot.

I don't want to go replace all these lines that aren't absolutely necessary to replace. But if we're there and we're putting new asphalt down on top of them, I think that it's right to fix it the right way.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So you're going to run it from there all the way to the county yard? Is that what you're saying?

BERT RAMOS: It's --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: You're replacing all that?

BERT RAMOS: Well, it's a short section. It's about --

MARTY UGALDE: Nine hundred feet.

BERT RAMOS: -- yeah -- 900 feet of pipe there that we're -- we're actually going to replace in front of the county yard.

And that -- that -- incorp- -- it incorporates the truck fill as well.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. So you save the money on the other. And how much is this going to be? And what's our bottom line?

BERT RAMOS: So you're asking the difference in cost? We're still under budget. I do know that.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Is it?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And I'm going to -- and I'm going to hold --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: The key word.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- you to that, Bert.

BERT RAMOS: I do know that. Without --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. Okay.

BERT RAMOS: -- without having solid numbers to go -- once we dig -- once we -- once we break into -- into this water line, there could be some adjustments. But I do know we're still well under budget, if that -- if that helps you any.

MARTY UGALDE: About a hundred thousand --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It's a --

MARTY UGALDE: -- left.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- good start.

BERT RAMOS: Yeah, we're roughly a hundred thousand dollars

left.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.

BERT RAMOS: And like I say, them are rough numbers. It's hard to put solid numbers on something that you haven't actually put into play yet. And if I come in here and put solid numbers on something that we haven't put into play yet, I get held accountable for it later.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I know, but when we have design costs that are extra and so forth and so on, I thought -- but you're --

BERT RAMOS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- fine. Thank you.

BERT RAMOS: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll make a motion to approve the amendment to the existing Day Engineering contract for rehab of the three existing sewer lift stations.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second the motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Steve seconded.

Any public comment?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Do -- do we need an- -- any more details on that? Or that just covers it blanket?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, when -- when they provide me with the terms, I'll -- I'll do up a contract. And then like I always do, I'll -- I'll submit it in front of the board to --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- to approve the project. You're approving the project. And then I will submit the amendment.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And you -- you can approve the amendment.

BERT RAMOS: And there will be solid numbers in there, Patsy.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Perfect.

Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ AND CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: One more. Art, one more. Okay, now --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: I said aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Huh?
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: One more?
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.
MARTY UGALDE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And then we'll be done with Public Works.
MARTY UGALDE: Thanks, Bert.

21) Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove additional scope and fee for Shaw Engineering for design and bidding services for Battle Mountain Paving project, increase of $20,900 for a total design budget not to exceed $28,400, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Twenty-one. Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove additional scope and fee for Shaw Engineering for the design and bidding services for Battle Mountain paving projects, increase of $20,900 for a total design budget not to exceed 28,400, and all other matters properly related thereto.

BERT RAMOS: Okay. And what this is, is having Shaw come back and, like, the road over by the hospital, --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Palm- --

BERT RAMOS: -- putting in curb, gutter, and sidewalk, as opposed to just paving the road --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's Palmer Street.

BERT RAMOS: -- as -- as well. Yeah, Palmer. And then -- and then we're also going to do -- I believe it's Seventh -- and put in the curb and sidewalk, and all -- gutter all the way down it as well.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Kind of dress up the --

BERT RAMOS: Yeah, it's additional -- it's -- it's quite a bit of additional work when you start putting in curb and gutter

because your elevations start to matter a bunch more. It's not just grinding a road out and paving it back into place and everything kind of rolls that way. When you put your curb and gutter in you need everything to flow a little bit.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We're not going to do this until they've one the construction; right?

BERT RAMOS: No.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

BERT RAMOS: Yeah. This -- this -- but this sets us up to go out to bid for -- to get this job done before July 1 of next year.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So with that sidewalk on the hospital side of Palmer Street that's so low --

BERT RAMOS: Yeah, this incorporates a grade change to match that.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: By putting sidewalk on the opposite side of the street, does that help level some of that out so it's not as abrupt of a drop into that sidewalk?

BERT RAMOS: It would help on the road side. It would hurt on the back side as far as building up to -- to fit all the other, you know, the --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Oh.

BERT RAMOS: -- parking there on the back side. So...

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do we need a drain?

BERT RAMOS: This -- this incorporates some potential -- some French drains.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

BERT RAMOS: So...

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. With that...

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll make a motion to approve additional scope and fee for Shaw Engineering for design and bidding services for Battle Mountain paving projects and an increase of $20,900 for a total design budget not to exceed $28,400.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- second --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Second?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- second.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- the motion.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Steve seconded. Any public comment?
(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
BERT RAMOS: Thank you --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
BERT RAMOS: -- very much.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, Austin, we're going to take a break.
MITESHELL LANHAM: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

(Break taken from 11:02 a.m. to 11:13 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- to order.

COMMISSIONERS

22) Discussion and possible action regarding the defunding of the juvenile detention center and reallocation of funds, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Commissioners. Twenty-two. Discussion and possible action regarding the defunding of the juvenile detention center and reallocation of funds, and all other matters properly related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: This was brought up by -- earlier, at an earlier meeting. And at that time, you gave me directions to see if there was any projects that you wanted to look into.

So I didn't receive any projects, but it was time to bring it back up and discuss the defunding of the juvenile center.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You still can receive projects.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Oh, absolutely. Yes. We are -- we're dealing --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I gave you quite few --

KEITH WESTENGARD: And we are dealing with those. I'm sorry, Commissioner Waits.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: We're dealing with those in different budget areas: the roofing and the things that Dee Helming wanted. Those are all being dealt with, either in Building or they're actually been budgeted for already, some of the things Dee wanted. So we -- we have dealt with those. But we're going to deal with those out of the different --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Because I gave you a list of some --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- that were not.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So you did receive some.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah. I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: My apologies. I did receive it, but we're going to -- we're going to take care of those either at budget, which is the -- the new roof -- because we need to -- for the roof, we need to look at a possible engineering -- there may be a possible engineering issue. And the building inspector has been looking into that.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: How about the Jet A fuel?

KEITH WESTENGARD: The Jet A fuel, we're dealing with that through J-U-B Engineers. And Tom Liminger [phonetic] is working on it. And we will be discussing that week after next, how we're going put it together. But he's well aware of it, how we're going to fund it or how we're going to --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. Because --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- put it together.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- there wasn't any budget for that.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Right. Well, and we can -- that's not an FAA issue. So that will have to be budgeted for.

We can't get it -- we can't get that through a grant. That will -- just similar to what we're --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I know.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- doing now. So -- but we do have --

we have other avenues to --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- approach that with.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. And I -- well, I talked to Gene. And this is how this is legally done and supposed to be done.

So we need to defund this to fund all the other pro- -- or all the other change orders and changes to the rec center that you all already voted on to approve. But I ran all this by Gene. And he said this is how -- the order this is supposed to go. And he's not here today, but --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So that brings up a question of -- of -- to defund the juvenile detention center fund, where do we -- for this motion, where do we defund it to?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You don't. It goes right back into the CCP Fund.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah, it'll be defunded --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So it's just -- it's just a flat defund --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Uh-huh.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Defund --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: We're not defunding it back to any account.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And then it'll go into the Capital Construction Project funding. So you're just going to defund it. You don't want to do that project anymore. It goes back into the capital --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And then we --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- construction.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- go through these --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- next items. They'll -- he'll come back with the resolution to be approved by the board.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: All right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Or Gene will or something.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So I'll make motion to defund the juvenile detention center project.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll second that.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Art seconded. Any public comment?

(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ AND COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

23) **Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the Contingency Use Authorization #1 in an amount not to exceed $6,551 in additional costs for revised court logo, and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Twenty-three. Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the Contingency Use Authorization #1 in an amount not to exceed $6,551 in additional costs for revised court logo -- logo, and all other matters properly related thereto.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I thought this budget item was a little bit unclear because, when I read it, I thought they were talking about Max's court.

So I -- I would appreciate it if we'd do a little bit more clarification on -- on some of them.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Casey.

CASEY GUNTHER: Is it -- Casey Gunther, for the record. Good morning.

Does it not specify it's for the rec center?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It did. But see, when we advertise an agenda item, --

CASEY GUNTHER: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- the public doesn't have our backup.

CASEY GUNTHER: Got you. Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So I'm just saying --

CASEY GUNTHER: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- the clarification for the record is that -- we need to make sure the public knows.

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: That'd be my fault.

CASEY GUNTHER: What I -- what this is for, if you recall, a couple months back, we did a revised basketball court logo.

(Car alarm sounding outside.)

CASEY GUNTHER: I offered to do it out of contingency for $6,551, I believe it is. So this is a -- this isn't a change order per se. It is a contingency use authorization.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Now do we normally have to authorize those?

CASEY GUNTHER: Not normally. A contingency -- the contractor's contingency is there for gaps in scope, items missing on the bid, things like that. Through everything's going and not knowing -- to get this thing rolling, I offered to take it out of contractor's contingency.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, Casey. Hold on. What is that noise?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Somebody's car horn, car alarm.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh. Okay. Go ahead, Case.

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Black truck.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I thought that was my phone.

CASEY GUNTHER: I offered to take this out of contractor contingency to get the ball rolling on it and get the subs moving, get the designs correct.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So for transparency.

CASEY GUNTHER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Perfect.

So if anybody doesn't remember, I have the revised court -- basketball court logo.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Can I have a copy of that?

CASEY GUNTHER: Sure.

For the record, the white behind the Newmont, Barrick, and NV Energy will not be on that.

This does not reflect the new striping colors that were done.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll make a motion we approve the Contingency Use Authorization Number 1 in an amount not to exceed $6551 in additional costs for the revised court logo at the recreation center on the basketball court.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Patsy made a motion. Art seconded.

Any public comment?

(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

24) Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the Contingency Use Authorization #2 in an amount not to exceed $28,250 for building price increase due to delayed design, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Twenty-four. Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the Contingency Use Authorization #2 in an amount not to exceed $28,250 for building price increase due to delayed design, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay. A little background on this one. The design took an additional two months after we went to GMP. And building prices increased 6 percent twice. The pre-engineered building contractor, he absorbed those costs on his own. The last 8 percent, he passed on, which due to no fault of ours, I covered half of it out of the contractor's contingency.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Who is responsible for getting us the design?

CASEY GUNTHER: That would be Van Woert Bigotti.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Are they accepting any of this loss?

CASEY GUNTHER: At the time, no.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No.

CASEY GUNTHER: At this time, they are not. And --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And why not?
Legally?
When they delay --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Why --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- something?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- why --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- and it costs us extra money?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, Patsy, they're not

accepting what they did on this building either at this -- at this point in time.

They're just taking a position that -- that -- that their design defects, they're not liable for them.

And I --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And our --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- don't know why legally they're taking that position.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Our contract isn't clear enough? Is what -- is that what we're saying?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I don't believe that's --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: They just have a way out and they're taking it?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, I don't believe they have --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- a way out.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: They're just taking a stance.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: They're just taking a stance that they're not going to --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, then we can -- also take a stance; can we not?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I think we can.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But not right now.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: But not at this point.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.

CASEY GUNTHER: The other part of this I want to make clear, this is only half of that 8 percent I'm covering under contingency. The other half I'm going to pass on via --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes, I read that.

CASEY GUNTHER: -- unfortunately, it'll be a change order to -- to you guys. So...

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

And then -- but we've got to wait for the project to be done to see --

CASEY GUNTHER: Right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And then you add up the final amount and send them a bill.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That's --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- true.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Or deduct it.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Or -- yeah -- or --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, that's --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's the --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That's my --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- procedure.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- position. But --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: I -- that's the --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- no one else seems to want that position.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, that --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I like that position.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: So do I.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's the best procedure.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So...
Okay.
Then with that?
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll make a motion to approve the Contingency Use Authorization Number 2 in an amount not to exceed $28,250 for building price increase due -- due to delayed design.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second the motion.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Steve seconded it.
Any public comment?
(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONERS STIENMETZ, CLARK, AND MILLS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

25) **Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove Change Order Request #2 in an amount not to exceed $73,692 for additional building costs, and all other matters properly related thereto.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Twenty-five. Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove Change Order Request Number 2 in an

amount not to exceed $73,692 for additional building costs, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay. So the Change Order Number 2 encompasses three changes. You guys should have the backup in your -- in your packets there.

But the first one is for added hat channel to install non-insulated panels to the insulated metal panels. This was a design detail that was flawed, apparently.

Apparently, the manufacturer, in order to not void warranty, they will not allow the insulated metal panels to be attached directly -- the non-insulated metal panels to be attached directly to the insulated metal panels.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And our architects did not catch this?

CASEY GUNTHER: My understanding is no.

They -- they -- they told us that they spoke to Metl-Span and they said yes.

They have no writing of that. I have writing saying otherwise, saying they will not allow that.

So the hat channel, this costs for -- as it's broken down to the $9,559 is for that added material and labor to do that.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Casey, could I ask you to follow-up on that for me and -- and ask the -- the Metl people how long this has been a requirement that they do that --

CASEY GUNTHER: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Because that might be part of what the time frame is. Perhaps it was done after they had spoken to them. Could be a long time, but --

CASEY GUNTHER: It hasn't been, but I can --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But the clarification would be cool, and we'd like it in our records.

CASEY GUNTHER: I -- I can produce that for you by the end of the day.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: That's a good idea. Thank you, Patsy.

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay.

The other part of that change order, add to increase metal panel thickness due to manufacturer recommendations. Not necessarily the recommendation -- what happened is sometime -- I don't have the exact data on when this happened, but due to the energy code requirements across the country, the 2 1/2-inch metal

panels, which was what was originally in the design, will not meet energy code requirements.

So they quit making them. We had to go to the 3-inch panel. So the cost there is just the -- the material with this cost --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: With this delay, do we know how many additional days the contractors are asking for?

CASEY GUNTHER: At this point in time, I haven't asked for any additional days. I -- not that it would take this long. My consideration is I want to be -- add the amount of days we were delayed to the contract.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. So we haven't extended --

CASEY GUNTHER: I have --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- anything --

CASEY GUNTHER: -- not done that yet.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- at this point? Thank you.

CASEY GUNTHER: No, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Does adding that extra half inch of material throw off the design?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: We -- we accounted for that during shop drawing review processes, and that's all been taken care of.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

CASEY GUNTHER: Then the other part of that change order for $17,009 is the added cost for required fire-rated wall, not in the design.

The gym storage building that is closest to the school is supposed to be a fire-rated wall because of the distance between --

In order for that to happen, they had to go to a fire-rated thermal panel with additional framing requirements, structural requirements due to the late --

And that $17,009 incorporates in as --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Is --

CASEY GUNTHER: -- far as --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- that the architects fault too?

CASEY GUNTHER: It was not in the design. I -- I -- don't want to -- I can't go out there and say that. But it was not

included in the design. You're correct.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So it was missed in the design process --

CASEY GUNTHER: True.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- with the architects?

CASEY GUNTHER: Very true.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll make a motion to approve the Change Order Request Number 2 in an amount not to exceed $73,692.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Doug made a motion.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Steve seconded it.

Any public comment?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I -- well, Jennifer's coming up.

I would like one clarification. On -- on the one page that's saying, the general liability increase, payment and performance bond increase --

CASEY GUNTHER: All the backup that goes with each of those changes, as the contract increases, so do our premiums.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. Thank you.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Jennifer O'Kane, for the record.

You mentioned something about the two-hour burn or --

CASEY GUNTHER: The fire-rated --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You can make a public comment, but --

JENNIFER O'KANE: I am making a public comment.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- you cannot question --

JENNIFER O'KANE: I'm not. I'm looking at him. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You've got to -- you've got to --

JENNIFER O'KANE: So you mentioned --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- Jennifer.

JENNIFER O'KANE: -- the fact of a two-hour burn on --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Jennifer.

JENNIFER O'KANE: -- a wall. Yes?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You've got to direct the question to the board.

JENNIFER O'KANE: I'm looking wherever I want, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Really?

JENNIFER O'KANE: So the two-hour burn on -- for the

building?

CASEY GUNTHER: I didn't specify it was -- had at a certain --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Casey.

JENNIFER O'KANE: So in the code for the building, when you have a building for a two-hour -- I'm asking: is that the code for Lander County? Or is that a code for the State? And the reason I brought that to you is because I just built a building in 2014 and 2015 in regards to the two-hour burn.

I was unable, with my architect, to be able to find those codes. So when we did our architecture, three stamp for Lander County, we complied with that, but we didn't have to comply with that. So I was just bringing that up for a very large savings amount.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any other public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MILLS AND CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Perfect.

CASEY GUNTHER: Hang on. One question.

One thing, I noticed on here that wasn't a requirement for an update. Want me to wait till the very end to do it then?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: After we get -- do all your stuff?

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

CASEY GUNTHER: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Perfect.

26) Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the purchase of the Poseidon Early Detection Drowning System in an amount not to exceed $104,525, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Twenty-six. Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the purchase of the Poseidon Early Detection Drowning System in an amount not to exceed $104,525, and all the matters property related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay. As -- as you guys remember, we had quite a lengthy discussion about this in the past. So I decided to bring it forward. And I'm asking for this system be purchased. I -- I've discussed this with Casey just now.

This is just the purchase of the system from Jerry Johnson from the Poseidon (indiscernible).

Once you approve it -- if you approve it, like I say, this will just be a cost of the system

Casey's been working on the labor, installation, and so forth that goes along with it. If you approve it today, what I'm going to do is email Mr. Johnson and let him know that it was approved. And from now on, he will deal directly and solely with Casey at CORE Construction to finalize the -- the installation and so forth of this.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

CASEY GUNTHER: So -- oh, I'm sorry.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Oh, no. Go ahead.

CASEY GUNTHER: So as you know, we attend our OAC meetings We -- we've been discussing this at length. We just received the PR, the proposal request, from Van Woertt Bigotti Architects this morning with the furnish and install requirements. So I've already been dealing with Nelson Electric, who is our electrician on the job who will be purchasing and installing all the materials along with Jerry Johnson, Poseidon, and their operations guide to ensure that it's in there. But I just received that this morning, but I already had Nelson Electric start pricing it earlier this week.

So I'm hoping that -- based on whenever the next meeting is going to be, probably in November -- that I can present that price to you guys.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So I've -- that brings up a question. You say that Nelson Electric is purchasing the equipment. Do we need to make any clarifications about who we're writing the check to? Are we writing a check to Poseidon or are we writing a check to Nelson Electric? Or CORE?

CASEY GUNTHER: It would be going through CORE. It's going to be -- be done via change orders, as I understand it.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah, correct.

CASEY GUNTHER: Through CORE.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It will --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Through CORE.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- be in the resolution.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: I would like to go on record on this, again, to say I am opposed against this type of a -- a system, not for what it does, but because we haven't had the history that I would like to see when we put this kind of an item in and the cost -- continuing maintenance cost that is going to bring up for Lander County, I think, is going to be extremely expensive. I think it's fabulous that we think of these kinds of things. But we're a very small county with 6500 people. And yet not one casino or area has -- has put in this kind of a system in the state of Nevada or anywhere close to us, even Arizona.

So why our little county, which is struggling in -- in still a lot of ways is going to take on this -- this kind of an expense is a little bit disappointing to me. Not for what it might do, but just -- just the fact that we're kind of small to want to play in all the big boys' courts sometimes. Thank you.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I would like to say, Commissioner Waits, that when Bert and I attended the POOL/PACT training on aquatic management, this is something that came very heavily recommended from POOL/PACT, our insurance carrier.

And based on that and then some research, that -- that's why I feel it's a pretty necessary addition. If we -- and we -- thank God we haven't had any drowning in the county. God forbid if we do have one. This is a system that hopefully would -- that should prevent that and save the county a possible -- a possible lawsuit, maybe even in the millions.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: More important than that, Keith, it may save some kid's life, some adult's life.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Uh-huh.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Sure. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And I understand that there's absolutely no way you can put any price on any precious life. That's not what we're discussing. We're saying if we had a hundred and -- a hundred thousand dollars, there's probably a hundred ways that we could do things in this county to save more lives.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Like what?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: There probably is. Oh, perhaps we could look at the sheriff's department. Perhaps we could look at -- at some of our children. Perhaps we could look at our fire departments. I mean, there's lots of areas. But I'm saying, I still remember the comment about how sensitive they are. And -- and if you throw a beach ball up and touch it, they're going to have the expense of coming out, and I'm just looking at, my golly, it's just an open checkbook here. Not that I don't want it. But I'm not sitting here in Clark County. I'm sitting here in a -- in a very small county.

And it's wonderful for POOL/PACT to say, that's great. But nobody else has stepped forward. And when he was here, Mr. Johnson said they may be putting some in in California. But at this point, they don't even have any in that state. So why we're being number one at this kind of a cost, I'm just saying. Not just the initial, the ongoing costs. That's all.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I understand.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I'll make a motion that we purchase the Poseidon Early Detection Drowning System in the amount not to exceed -- exceed one hundred and four, five hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Art made a motion.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug seconded it.

Any public comment?

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: I'm John Michael Lawrence, for the record.

I just want to state that, as a member of the community, I agree 100 percent with Ms. -- with Commissioner Waits.

The system doesn't have a history or a proven -- a proven re- -- track record anywhere that I've --

(Sound in background)

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- heard in any of our -- in any of the meetings that I've been able to attend. And not only are we looking at a hundred thousand dollars here, we're looking at the maintenance cost, the change orders to -- that CORE is going to have to submit for the -- for the installation of this job.

And there are other areas -- you asked whether we're talking the other areas even in the rec center that I think that we could -- that money would have been better utilized.

(Sound in background)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

Any other public comment?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Commissioner Bakker, I'd like to say two things real quick.

One is, I just want to reiterate, this is for the purchase of the system only. So the installation would be additional.

And the other thing is, if you remember when Mr. Johnson was here, it has a 20-plus year history of in- -- of installations throughout the world, other countries, and so forth.

So there -- there is a track record to it. They're one of, I believe, three in the world that does this -- has this type of a system. So there is some history there.

(Sound in background)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Keith. I remember that conversation too.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Thank you. That's my two cents'.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, what's that noise?

(End of sound in background.)

UNIDENTIFIED PARTICIPANT: (Indiscernible.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Huh?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Somebody's mic maybe. Feedback.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh. Okay.

All right. Any other public comment?

FRANK WHITMAN: Austin here. Frank Whitman. I -- I never was clear on the maintenance and the software update costs.

Did -- did we ever get something back from Mr. Johnson on -- on those costs?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Keith?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah. That was -- that was included in

his original. And we have to decide what type of a maintenance. I believe it was -- one was 10- and one was 14,000 a year, diff- -- different ways. I don't remember clearly what the cost was. But I think it was 10- for --

FRANK WHITMAN: Okay. Thank you.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- a basic and 14- or --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that would depend on if we could train somebody to maintain it.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Any other public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MILLS AND CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Opposed?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Nay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

Twenty-seven. Discussion and possible action regarding the holiday meeting schedule --

Thanks, Casey.

-- schedule for the L- --

Oh, hey, you want to do your update?

CASEY GUNTHER: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Sorry. Or do you want to talk about the rec center?

CASEY GUNTHER: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

CASEY GUNTHER: I'll give an update to everybody, if they (indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Because we are talking about the rec center here.

CASEY GUNTHER: All right. Anybody who's driven by there has seen that the structures are being -- going up. The pool building structure is complete. The pool building painting should be completed by today. All that structure has to be painted before the roof tiles go on. The gym building structure should be completed by tomorrow.

Exterior roof, wall panels are supposed to be on-site

Monday. And he should start flying them Friday. He's going to work Friday, Saturday, Sunday, and Monday on roof panels on both buildings.

Gym building, painting the structure should start next week, Monday, I believe.

Exterior framing has started, if you've seen that, as well as the wood framing takes place. We've got wood joists and decking for those mechanical mezzanines.

I think the last update I gave was about the pool. That's been shotcreted. It's ready to go. Just waiting on the pool coping. And then probably sometime in March, we'll be plastering and tiling. That's all.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Good job.

CASEY GUNTHER: Other than that...

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Casey, can I go over there to the construction site and find you and walk around?

CASEY GUNTHER: Sure.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I'll -- next week.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Thanks, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- Casey.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- you.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Casey.

27) Discussion and possible action regarding the holiday meeting schedule for the Lander County Board of Commissioners meetings in November and December 2016, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Twenty-seven. Discussion and possible action regarding the holiday meeting schedule for the Lander County Board of Commissioner meetings in November, December 2016, and all other matters property related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: So with the holidays coming up, I thought it would be a good idea to discuss what -- how many, what, and when you might want to have your commission meetings for November and December.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, my daughter just adopted four children. So I need time to go back East.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, good. I -- what about one meeting, on the 10th?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: 11/10?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: That's our normal time.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's sounds --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We'll leave December the same?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: December the --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Because --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- 8th.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- we have moved December up.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: December the 8th is, I think, our regular meeting.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And the 22nd.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

So if we went 11/10 and 12/8, we would cover those two months.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So leave December the same?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Just one in December, 12/8?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Or two.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Two?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah, because the 22nd's not a holiday.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We usually only -- yeah, if -- if you're -- it's kind of hard to finish up the end of the year with just one. But what we have done is move it up to the first and third Thursdays, if you wanted to do, depending on your schedule here.

But the one in November, I'm fine with, and then whatever --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- you'd like to do --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: One in --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- in December.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- November.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Ted, your schedules?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: December 1st and December 15th.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The 1st. Let me look.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Do you need to change December? Are

you all okay on December?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Whenever you --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Steve --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- set it, --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: What? For --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- we'll be there.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: December 1st --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Are you good?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- and 15th.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: We're -- we're good --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: No, I said, they're okay --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: The --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- with the --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- 8th --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- current one.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- and 22nd --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- I'm okay.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- of December.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I have no problem with that.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER AND COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah, it's what -- it's -- yeah, whatever you want.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay, so all we need to change is November 10th?

Well, we don't --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: No.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- even change it. We just --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- cancel the --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: We just say --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- meeting.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- we're only going to have one meeting --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We're --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- in November.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- canceling --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: (Indiscernible.)

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- the one on Thanksgiving.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: And have one --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Do you need a motion?
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yep.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll make a motion that we cancel our second agenda for De- -- the month of November, which is the 24th, and have one meeting in November on the 10th, leaving December the same.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Patsy made a motion.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Steve seconded it.
Any public comment?
(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER AND COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

28) Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the purchase of equipment to complete the fire truck for the Town of Kingston fire company in an amount not to exceed $75,000, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Number 28. Discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the purchase of equipment to complete the fire truck with the Town of Kingston fire company and not to exceed $75,000, and all other matters properly related thereto.
KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay. At the last meeting, you guys did approve the purchase of the cab and chassis for the Kingston -- Town Kingston volunteer fire company.
This is to complete the purchase of the box buildup, the pump, the tank, the lighting system, the radio system, as well as some basic equipment to put on their piece of equipment to send it to Kingston.
And I don't see -- foresee it going over the 75,000. I'm pretty confident.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And this is the four-wheel drive Dodge truck?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Correct.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

Well, I'll make a motion to approve the purchase of equipment to complete the fire truck at the Town of Kingston fire company in an amount not to exceed $75,000.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll second that motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Art made a motion. Patsy seconded it.

Any public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Thank you.

29) Discussion and possible action to propose an amendment to NACO's bylaws for adoption at the November annual membership meeting to add a public lands assessment to NACO's membership dues, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Discussion of possible action to propose an amendment to NACO's bylaws for adoption at the November annual membership meeting to add a public lands assessment to NACO's membership dues, and all other matters property related thereto.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. At the last board meeting at NACO, they voted to change one of our sections in NACO's bylaws regarding the dues.

Now, they need to have an annual meeting in order to do that. And I'll give you the reason in just a minute. But let me explain how it works. Because they have to have -- they have to have -- advertise for the time. And then it will be voted on at our meeting in November.

Now, the way it's voted on is by members. If you have a three-commission board, they get three votes. If you have a five-commission board, they get five votes.

We don't pass or fail it ourselves when we vote.

They just record our numbers. We report it, and that's what makes the final decision all the way in.

Now the reason for the increase, of course, is because we've -- we've had so many extra costs at NACO regarding the impact that we've had on our natural resources. And actually, natural resources and public lands have been one of the two biggest items.

And so we actually hired an attorney that's helping us with some of that and the lawsuits and such.

They wanted a fair way to determine the percentage back to every county. So they had recommended that the percentage is determined by the board at NACO. And they might use the recent federal Payment in Lieu of Taxes payments made. And then the proposed assessment would be used to support the programs, just strictly for our natural resources and our policy and our management to continue on with that.

If they use the PILT, they thought that that would be a more equitable and efficient way to generate the additional funds that they're looking for. So whether it passes or it fails, we don't know till all the counties --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So they want --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- do their vote.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- to take part of our PILT money to pay for these positions?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: A percentage -- a percentage of it. That would be what they're basing it on is our -- our PILT money, to enhance the dues. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Doesn't the PILT money fluctuate and it's not a --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Oh, yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- guarantee?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Oh, yes. It's just a ---

COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's not a guarantee.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: No, it's not.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But it would be based on the last recent PILT payment that we get when this goes into effect.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: So the last -- last payment could be higher than the next payment and --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: That's true. Then your percentage

would be lower. You wouldn't be paying the same. If you get a lower payment, your percentage is lower.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: This is a permanent change.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- it sounds like what's really happening, they're not necessarily wanting to take our PILT money. They're just using the way the government determines our PILT payment --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- to determine --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- the way they're going to charge us.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: They thought that was an equitable way of doing it. They're not taking our PILT, --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: What --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- but it's --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: It's mainly based on population, really, is what they're doing.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Don't -- don't we pay $300,000 a year to NACO for membership?

KEITH WESTENGARD: I believe it's about 17,000. I -- I remember we talked about it yesterday. And I went and double-checked, Commissioner Clark. And I meant to get back to you.

I believe our annual NACO dues were 17,000 this year, if I remember correctly.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Seventeen?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And what kind of --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Seventeen, yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Seventeen?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Seventeen.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So Patsy, in this --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: A year?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- model that they're projecting --

KEITH WESTENGARD: For the year, yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- what would it look like for Lander County? What would we pay?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We don't know the exact amount yet.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. On last year's numbers. They -- they didn't run the model on --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Did they give you the amount?

KEITH WESTENGARD: No. Because once -- it has to be determined.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah, because --

KEITH WESTENGARD: It's a percentage.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- their board hadn't determined what percentage.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, if they're proposing this, it's probably in favor of NACO and not the county.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, of course.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Oh, no.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, yeah.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It's favoring NACO because of --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: It's more money.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- the enhanced cost regarding public lands and -- and our management of public lands. And so, like I said, they had hired an attorney to actually be part of that. And for those that don't have somebody like Jake in their county, they have been using Tori as that information. So that's what we've been doing on the counties that don't have their -- their own public lands, you know, officials. So --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But there's --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- what we're saying is because there's been so many more laws and -- and everything coming down, the amounts have really gone up with the -- the time frame and what's been happening.

So we were really -- needed somebody to protect us. And the counties use that too. She asked for our information and our input. And the counties give it back to her. And that's how we're fighting it.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: So they're --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: There's no --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- asking you --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Sage grouse was one. Hm?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: They're asking you to adopt this without knowing what the percentage --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: What the --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- is.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- percentage is. Exactly.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: That -- that is exactly it. It said the amounts shown will be determined annually by the board as part of our -- the part of NACO's budget process. So you're right.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Which board? This board --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, not --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- or NACO board?
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- this --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No, no.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- board.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: No, no, --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: NACO will --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- the NACO board.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- determine --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh, no.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- how much the --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, no, no.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- counties pay.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: The NACO board.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: There's no number here. There's no -- they could say 7 percent, 8 percent.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: They could.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: This is so vaguely written that it's -- it's just -- it's written for catastrophe for small counties is the way I see it. Just ridiculous.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Well, I understand -- I understand your point. But I'm saying, you know, rather than just doing the dues, they thought that this was a more equitable way to --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, many --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- to just do a --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- times, Patsy, --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- small percentage.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Many times, Patsy, --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I'd rather just do --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- you have --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- dues.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- brought up the point that we don't have sufficient numbers. There's not one number in this.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: No, it's the process of whether you want to adopt something like that or not.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right. Do you guys -- so what do you guys want to do?
COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't want to adopt it.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: But we do need to vote. I mean, whether --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah, we've got to vote to --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- we adopt it --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- say --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- or not, you need --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- yeah.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- to vote.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah, you've got to make --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: So --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- a motion.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- that they have our votes.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: And then Keith will have to send it right in. Right.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah. I think they could come up with a --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Better idea.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'm -- I can --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Make a --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- see where they're trying to make it --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Equitable.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: They've tried to come up with a way that's equitable for everybody.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: The other thing is increasing the dues, I guess. And, you know, they have to --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- do something. But that's fine. You either want it or you don't.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: It just seems like --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: So --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- a more solid proposal would be better.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And even if they gave you the numbers, even if we said, it's going to be affected by, you know, $500 a year, they still will have to adjust it and can adjust it every year. So it's still not --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: You know, even if we had the right ones, it -- it gives them that authority. So...

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We can adjust our payment if necessary.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. I --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'm thinking -- I'm -- I'm -- I'm thinking along the lines that just a -- a number would be better than a -- a moving target every year --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay, but --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I was just --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- but yeah --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- I was just thinking --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But it has --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- about that.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- to be voted on by the whole annual membership.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- and so it's going in for next -- next month. So...

KEITH WESTENGARD: November.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So we have to each of us vote yes or no to adopt this --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes. And then our --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- this --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- yes and no are --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- policy.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- counted individually. Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It isn't a pass or fail with every --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- county. Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So...
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Membership goes up too much, we can pull our membership.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah. We probably don't want to do that.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I know.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: But I'm thinking I -- I would prefer just a solid number.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: So I think I would vote no on this method of charging --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: So would I.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- this extra money.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I would vote no.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: In -- in Nevada, if they just up the dues by a thousand dollars for each county, I mean, there's $17,000. I mean, how much more do they want?
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Because each county can't pay that kind of -- you know, it's based on our revenues and our population. There's a lot of different --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- factors that go into it.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- they could still come up with a method using population to come up with a solid number for each county --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Right.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Uh-huh.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- instead of this different number every year based on whatever the government does. I think --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: That's --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- that would be a better way to do it.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Steve, what's your vote?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I would say no.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
Patsy?
COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'm going to vote yes.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Art?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Keith, you got all of that?
KEITH WESTENGARD: Yep. I'll send them a --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.
KEITH WESTENGARD: -- response.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Public comment?
FRANK WHITMAN: Austin here. Frank Whitman, for the record.

I do -- I do support NACO, in particular as things that -- more and more gets settled in the law, in the, you know, court of law, having a NACO rep doing all our footwork or doing a lot of our footwork for us I do think in the long term can save us money.

But this appropriation, I do agree, is an open-ended checkbook. And I'm uncomfortable with that. I am very surprised that NACO did not send what that would have cost, say, going back five years to get some guesstimate for all counties to figure what it might cost going ahead five years.

I understand bills go up and down. But giving the counties something to work with, looking in hindsight, was a gross mistake. It's up to you gentlemen to figure out what to do. But I would -- I would ask to get some information on what it's cost -- what we would have looked at in the past. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thanks, Frank.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thanks, Frank.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Appreciate it. Any other public comment? All in favor? Or --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Oh.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Sorry.

(Simultaneous conversations.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: We didn't make a motion.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Never mind.
KEITH WESTENGARD: So --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh, boy.
KEITH WESTENGARD: Commissioner Bakker, I'll get up a letter.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
KEITH WESTENGARD: And just to confirm: Commissioner

Stienmetz, no; Commissioner Mills, no; Commissioner Bakker, no; Commissioner Clark, no; Commissioner Waits, yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I'll also try to come up -- or get an answer from them, some type of a better way or clearer way to -- that they're going to calculate these. Because our PILT does fluctuate, just as our revenues fluctuate. So --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: So, Ted, if I voted no, if -- if I'm outvoted in the meeting, this will just prevail anyway? And we'll absorb the cost the way it's designed in this?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You -- you can't take it personally. If -- if the counties -- if -- if the majority of the counties vote yes for this, it's going to probably go in. If not --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: If the --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- all (indiscernible) --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- majorities of the county --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- it will go in.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah. I'm not taking it personal. I'm just using --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: If the no vote is less than the aye vote, then this will pass and we have no way out of it because we're members of NACO.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But there is a way out if we don't -- if we're not a member?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, there's always a way out.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: But yeah. It -- it's --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah. It's not a --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: This is a --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I don't --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- proposed amendment to the bylaws.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It either passes or it doesn't.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It -- it passes --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- or it doesn't.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And they go on from there.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: We don't necessar- -- we don't want to be out of NACO. We just -- we're just talking about this way --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- for them to get some more revenue. We're -- some of us are saying they like this idea.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You're --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- some of us are saying we'd -- we'd like a --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You're seeking a --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- different way of doing it.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You're seeking another method.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: It's pretty simple.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Four of you are seeking another method.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I don't believe anybody's saying, "Let's get out of NACO" at this point.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: No.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, I'm not.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No, but they should have had some numbers to back up --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- their model.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- they -- they could do a more astute --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: That looks like they didn't want us to know the numbers, --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, that's -- that's the problem.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- what it tells me.

30) Discussion and possible action regarding security measures within the Lander County Administration Building and a presentation by Tri-Signal with their recommendations, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Number 30. Max. Discussion of possible action regarding security measures within the Lander

County Administration Building and presentation by Tri-Signal with their recommendations, and all of the matters properly related thereto.

Hey, Max, come on up here.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: No, there's two seats (indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, come on up here.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: (Indiscernible.) If need be, I'll jump up.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

JOHN PRITCHARD: I'm John Pritchard with Tri-Signal.

I met most of you at one time or other.

Basically, what we're here for today is we need to figure out how we're going to administer the access control system for this building for you and how you want to move forward.

We never got a definitive word from -- from the last meeting that we had when I sat down with you. And we're -- we're trying to get that definitive word either today or give you some time to figure it out.

Right now, the -- the system needs to be administered by someone. It's -- it's basically a -- not quite a full-time job, but it's -- it's a part-time job that takes -- it requires a lot -- a lot of work. It's a complicated system and for it to be maintained, someone needs to take that role.

And I'm here to answer questions about the system from -- from you. So if you have any specific questions on how it operates or what the (indiscernible) or who you want trained on this -- on the operation of the system, things of that nature. So...

CASEY GUNTHER: Casey Gunther, for the record.

So I think there's -- just to sum it up -- some questions need answered. Who's going to be in charge of administering the system?

That's something he needs to -- that needs to be answered before the rest of the -- of what John needs -- can be -- can be taken care of.

So the end-user training can't happen until they have a determination of who's going to be administering the system.

All the explanations, I mean, if you have certain questions, he can answer them of course. But whoever's going to be administering the system: one person, two people, a backup,

whatever it is, all that needs to be determined so he can finish out his -- his portion of what he has to do. So...

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Guys? Ideas?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Building.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: What's that?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Building Department.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I'm just -- coughing. I'm not choking because of what you said.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Timing.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I mean, why -- why wouldn't he (indiscernible) about what goes on here?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So, Max, are you the one that's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I agree.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- currently doing this?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I need some water.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I thought you washed your hands of all this.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: I did.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, Max, there's a chair right there.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: (Indiscernible) I'll just get this one. Max Bunch, for the record.

I did. But obviously nobody else can -- can do anything. So I've been helping -- having people there. As we know, there's been some concerns about the safety of the building. And I -- I am --

We had elected officials meeting minus the commissioners. So the other elected officials met that are in this building. So -- and during that meeting, we had discussed many things, some of it relevant, some of it not relevant to -- to the building.

And I would say that at that meeting was discussed that, from our side, as elected officials, we think it should be an elected official that actually is -- is running that because that person can gain complete access to the whole building. And so when we were having the meeting, we had a discussion as to whom everybody could trust. And during the meeting, I believe that it was decided that Lura Duvall would be the lead of that -- of that department. They asked if I would back them up. And I said, I don't mind helping the county. That's my first goal is to help

the county. But I had already previously told the commissioners that I was done because of the issues that everybody's well aware of.

So if -- if you don't trust me, that's fine. Don't have to trust me. I don't -- I don't have to do it. And that's what I explained to you. And so -- and the commissioners may have a different opinion. They may want to have a commissioner that's there that's involved in it as a -- as a potential person.

But I will tell you it entails getting up in the middle of the night, coming down to the building, and doing stuff, and contacting the elected official whose office that is involved. I can tell you many times I've contacted the district attorney because we have a duress alarm problem there, which John and I have talked about this morning, trying to resolve. But I am on the phone to the district attorney at 11:00 o'clock at night, midnight. Sheriff's office is waiting here. Go through, get into the -- his office and check it out with law enforcement. And then we leave and call the district attorney, let him know what's going on in his office that -- and it's been nothing, up to this point, thank goodness. And so...

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: A lot of duress in my office, Commissioners.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: So -- so we are hooked directly up to the sheriff's office. And the sheriff's office calls me for the dispatch.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I don't have a problem with you being in charge of it. And I never did.

It sounds like a headache, Max.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Well, there's a lot of extra work.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: And -- and I'm happy to let --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And you need extra --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Ms. --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- work.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- Waits can maybe do it. And she can do it.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You need extra work so you don't golf as much and strain your back.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: So anyway, I -- I -- I just think that you need to be aware of what we -- we as a group of elected

officials have -- have come together with and you as the Board of Commissioners now know that we have discussed this amongst the elected officials within this building and what everybody was satisfied with. And don't care if it's the clerk that backs it up. But I think you ought to have somebody there, somebody to back up.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You could have -- you could have a committee of three: one from the elected officials, one from the -- from your -- your board, and one from the -- the building and grounds. And then it's really hard for each group to -- to bitch at the other one if there's a member of each one on the -- on the three-person --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So right -- right now -- because the ones that have been upset have -- have been our elected officials, of course.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So right now, it's Lura and you, Max? Is that where we are?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Lura and -- and I. And I told her that I would assist her until she got her end-user training. That my -- my first thought is the county. I want the county --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But we should have a backup. I mean, you're not in town all the time, I mean, --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: You know, people just aren't. So I'm okay with Lura and you. And I'm glad you had a meeting.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Does Lura have a problem doing it? Is she -- I didn't know if she --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: She said no at the meeting. So --

Mr. Forgeron, you were there; is that right?

HY FORGERON: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: All right.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Lesley's going to go get her.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, where is Lura?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, we're going to go get her.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, I mean, we asked her to come --

LESLEY BUNCH: They're gone.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Oh, they're -- she can't go get

her.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I talked to her and told her that that agenda item was -- was voted on and approved. So...

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So one of the things I'd like to do as we discuss this is not discuss it in terms of personality but in terms of position. Because personalities are going to change over time.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So do we have the assessor's office -- it's the assessor's office and the judicial side taking care of it. Or it's the treasurer and the DA or -- in those terms, not in terms of the personality. Because the personality's going to change.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Right. Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'd like to discuss it more in terms of what makes sense as far as which department and maybe we should have all the -- can we have all the elected officials be part of this? Would that make sense? Or is that just going too far?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Well, I think it's going too far. And -- and John, you -- because then -- then you allow so many different people to get into the system. We attempted to do that originally when we started.

We were told by John's predecessor -- and John corrected everything -- we were told that we would have individual control of our own doors. And we put it through the computer system. And it's a software system that would cost money, but -- but then -- then there remains all the doors that are public access doors. So your PA key, anything that gets into the PA key is a public access type of -- of key. And it's supposed to be employee access, but they misstamped the keys. So we call it public access, but it really means employee access. So you've got all those employee-access doors that -- that -- that somebody could just turn around and just kill that reader. And that's not what we want to happen here. We want people to hopefully do the right thing in making sure. And -- and since the issue came up, I've taken -- if, for example, somebody needed a door changed, I would take whomever it was back there to -- and I got on the computer to make sure that that department was covered.

So, for example, Sadie lost an employee and gained an employee. I took Sadie there. I -- I took everything down on the one employee. And I put up the new employee. And when I took down the old employee, I believe that the record shows when I got on the computer, and then I also added that employee, which then become Keith's employee. And so I did the change while I was there. It took me a little bit to get it figured out, but I got it done so that I didn't have to come back down in two days when he said, "Hey, by the way, we don't -- we can't do anything."

And then I was just approached prior to coming in here, by Lura, saying, "Hey, the commissioners gave me that room back there." So now I got to go in and figure out how to take some of the people, which is, like, Bert who had access to it. Tammy who had access to it. We have to take those people off. And then, I guess, we have to put all of the assessor's employees able to get into that door.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Commissioners, in the judge's long explanation, a piece of information that -- that you should understand is that -- that we were sold a system that they didn't deliver. We were told that each department could have access to it. And they can't. And that's why we're in this situation. We were -- they misrepresented what we --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: That's --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- could --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- partially why I'm asking if each elected official, each department head should be on this committee. And then each one of them would have access to their departments. So I don't know. I don't how far to go. I don't know how the system works to -- to give a good answer.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Well, --

JOHN PRITCHARD: Can --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- and --

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- can I say something, --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- John --

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- on the record --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- John wants to --

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- real quick?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Yeah. Go ahead.

JOHN PRITCHARD: First of all, the system can do that. It wasn't purchased that way with the concurrent li- -- you have a

concurrent license of two. You can have fifty people with a login -- okay -- that can do whatever they want to do on that system.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Right? But if you put everyone in charge of the system, the database is going to get corrupted at some point because someone's going to make a mistake, okay?

The system can do exactly what we sold it to do, it's just that -- that when we talked about what we wanted it to do versus how you wanted it to operate, there's -- there's some matrix problems there.

We have to get some definitive answers to decide how the system's going to operate so that we can program it to operate that way.

But until we know who's going to mandate the system and -- and administer it, I don't know which way we're going. I have no one to tell me how to program the system to operate the way the county wants it to operate.

The system can operate way beyond anything that you guys can use it for in this -- in this county right now. It can do up to 10,000 doors. I don't think we're quite there. Okay. It can have up to 650 users. We're not quite there. It can do 25,000 card holders. We're not quite there.

So there's a lot more the system can do. This system is made to grow. It can be -- it can be put into every building that you have in your county, which was the original intent, so that you can add buildings on as you needed them, like Austin or whatever the case may be, and you would have a record of who's entering those buildings, when, and what time. That was --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Each elected official was going to have their own license.

JOHN PRITCHARD: That's not what -- what -- that's not the way it was sold. It was --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That's how it was --

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- (indiscernible).

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- sold. That -- that's what was told us.

JOHN PRITCHARD: I -- I -- all I can tell you is what -- is what I saw when I looked at the proposal -- the original proposal.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I hear you, bud. But I -- I'm telling you what was told to the commission when they purchased.

JOHN PRITCHARD: We have a concurrent license. So understand what that means. You have -- you have two people that can be on the system at one time. You can have, tweak -- every one of you can have access to the system. It's just that if you all tried to log into the system at one time, it wouldn't -- it's not possible.

But you guys can all log in -- let's say -- at different times during the day, any one of you can log in if that's the way we set the system up. Everyone in this room could have their own license, basically, to -- log in, a login and a password -- right? -- to log into the system that they're given access to whatever area you want to give them access to.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So --

JOHN PRITCHARD: That gets real messy for something this small. It's going to end up being a messy database.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: John, could you set up one computer in the IT room and each department have their own login. and it only did -- so certain logins, can you only do certain departments?

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And then each -- so you'd have to have eight users, one computer in the IT room, and each department can handle their own --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: We --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- department.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- already have the server in the IT room.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yeah, the server's in there. You could put a separate client station per se; right?

You already have the badging -- there's a second portion of this is the badging. All the badges that everybody has now is -- was made by our system. It's a badging system built into the software.

That's the other acc- -- that's the other point of it. The person that we train or people that we train, who's going to make the badges? Who's going to be responsible for the material? For me to teach them how to use -- use the badging printer? How to clean the printer, make sure that it functions

properly? Eight people aren't going to be doing it. It's going to be --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No, but --

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- one person --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- eight people can log in and do their doors.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: With just their department?

JOHN PRITCHARD: If that's the way you want to program.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So admin can't access the DA's office?

JOHN PRITCHARD: They'll be able to see it. Okay. They won't be able to alter --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: See it, --

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- but they can't delete --

JOHN PRITCHARD: You can't --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- it or anything like that?

JOHN PRITCHARD: Right they won't have the access to alter it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: That might be the way to go.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Where's -- where's the card printer now and all this equipment?

JOHN PRITCHARD: It's in the IT room.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Every- -- everything's in the IT room now?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well --

JOHN PRITCHARD: And most installations, just so you know, if I can give you a little bit of background, most facilities, whether it's a county, it's a state facility, a city facility, or a school, or a -- a private entity, generally the HR department handles this -- this situation. So they're the ones on-boarding the new employees and generally they're -- they're letting them go. But I don't know how things operate here. But that's the normal route that it will go.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You're talking about the card system?

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes. Yeah. And that, to me -- I mean, I don't know what issues we have, whether it's trust or whatever the case may be, but that's normally the -- the entity within

the organization that would handle this system.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Anyone?

What's your thoughts?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: What do -- what do our department heads and elected officials think of having HR do it? Did you -- did that come up?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: It did come up.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: What was their thoughts on that?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: We think that an elected official should -- should be in charge.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So they don't want HR doing it?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: That's right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: They want an elected official.

Fine. Let -- Lura can handle the key cards. We'll do eight departments. One computer and each one can log in.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: How -- how often is this system being logged into and used by somebody?

JOHN PRITCHARD: These numbers will change. And just so you know, once we give everybody an independent login, like right -- even right now the login that's there, every activity, every -- every key punch in there, whatever they do is being recorded.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right, but how often -- how often is somebody getting onto the system to do something?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Well, once -- once we've got the system set up, once we've got all -- a lot of the little stuff worked out, it's only as employees come and go or maybe there's a change, like what you guys did here today, allowing somebody else to use the door.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: A different room.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: So -- so some people will have to be taken off the door. Some people will have to be put on the door. So it's not that much when -- when you're talking about the key cards. It -- it -- it's not a lot of extra work. I mean, it is work that has to be done. And it, you know, it can be done in an hour, you know, and/or fifteen minutes to an hour.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay. And who has access to the IT room?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Well, right now?

JOHN PRITCHARD: Right now just whoever --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Right now I can tell you that it's the assessor's office and myself, with permission of the assessor, I think the Performance Computing gentleman, and I don't know if the treasurer does or not. I think she does.

JOHN PRITCHARD: (Indiscernible) does?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Yeah. And Tri-Signal --

JOHN PRITCHARD: And Tri-Signal.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- does at this point in time.

JOHN PRITCHARD: It does.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: So -- I mean, does the DA have or the executive director? I don't believe that's true. Do you? No. I don't believe you do because it -- the IT room --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Don't --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- belongs to --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- give me access, because I don't want it.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: The IT belongs to the assessor.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: I think the assessor has to give out the permission wehen she'd do it, unless you guys take over IT.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So it sounds like the assessor needs to be involved in this to let people into the IT room to get access to the equipment --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Either that or --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- and then --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- their employees.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Or one of their employees. So I'm -- I'm thinking each department head is -- can do their own department. They're going to have to go to the assessor's office to get access to the IT room to change whatever they need to change.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And the assessor can have the key cards. They voted. The elected officials voted on that or had a meeting on that.

JOHN PRITCHARD: A client station could be put in a common area. Or -- or there's -- the whole back side of the building is secure from the public. So you could find a spec- -- a spot to put a --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, I kind of like the idea of

having to go through somebody to get to this stuff --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- anyway. Add another layer of --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well it's not necessarily a layer of protection. It's --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Not --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It could be a layer of prevention, --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah, that's more like --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- which is not a positive thing.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, there's that.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: And -- and maybe she's acceptable to -- letting all the elected officials have access to that -- to that door. Or, yeah, I mean, it's just a door.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: It's up to her.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: It could be.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And let the eight departments --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It's up --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: You'd have to talk to her.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- to her unless you put a station somewhere else. That's what --
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: I mean, --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- John said.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- I mean, she can --
JOHN PRITCHARD: Or each elected official has a computer on their desk; right?
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah.
JOHN PRITCHARD: So I --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You could --
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- can -- I can load the software on their -- on their computers at their desk.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Then perfect.
JOHN PRITCHARD: And then that way they're not touching the cards themselves. But they can have access to the database and they can run a report.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.
JOHN PRITCHARD: The main reason why the elected officials want access to their -- to the system is to run a report on --

on their employees --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah.

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- to see who is accessing their doors.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Correct?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes.

JOHN PRITCHARD: So what we -- what I've already done is I -- or what I propose, anyway, what we've already done is set up a canned report for each department. I can teach each elected official how to run that report. That's basically all they need. And then what they would do is have Lura mandate -- I would -- this is only my suggestion. Only have Lura change access to -- for people.

If you have one person changing access levels for people, then you don't have any -- any reason for people to be upset and mad at each other.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.

JOHN PRITCHARD: One point, one person. That's who you go to. She's the one logging into the main system doing that. But anybody can run a report.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I like that idea.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Make it into -- I need a motion.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I have one thing I need to add --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Go ahead.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And that has to do with the security cameras.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Because as John said earlier, the intent was to have -- add additional buildings in the county, which falls under me, which would be the old -- the Road and Bridge Department, the new rec center, the civic center, this is the senior center down south, the golf course, all these buildings. So if you're going to hold me responsible for it, I want to be able to access those buildings to be able to look at the security cameras.

We had an incident at the golf course. We -- we should have had security cameras there. So I would like to put that out there. Because, like I said, if you're going to hold me responsible for it, I want access to that -- those areas that

are under my responsibility.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: So just to be clear, the -- the camera system runs through this --
JOHN PRITCHARD: It's a --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- program?
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- system made by the same manufacturer, that coincides with each other.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: And we can get Keith to have access to that --
JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes, we can.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- without any problem?
JOHN PRITCHARD: And you can add DVRs to every location. As long as there's internet access in each of those locations, the video can be brought back to this location here in one place and all be fed and held here, all of the data. So that he can -- he can look at -- anyone that -- that's -- requires access can look at those cameras.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay. So that's really kind of a separate issue from the rest of the security?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Is that --
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: The --
JOHN PRITCHARD: They're all -- it all folds into the same package, though.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: The current camera system is only for this building.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Now, you're going to have to add --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: But if we add --
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- cameras. And then if it comes --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- and can it get hooked into this system here? Can those cameras --
JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- be hooked in?
JOHN PRITCHARD: They're going to have to get an NVR. Like I say, as long as there's internet access at each of the locations, we can bring that video back to here; right? And then we can store it here and at the location.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Do --

JOHN PRITCHARD: So that way, you don't -- we don't have problems with -- with video.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Do all --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- the elected officials want access to the camera system? Did that come up?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: They probably -- they want access to the cameras that cover their --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- departments.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- their department.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Their department?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: They don't need access to --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: To the hallways?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: To the hall or somebody else's --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: That's basically where it is, gentlemen.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It's only --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It's in the -- it's only in the hallways.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: In this building.

JOHN PRITCHARD: It's in the common --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: This, because it's in the hallways.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: But it -- but it is. But it's also on the four corners of the building.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: On the outside.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: On the outside. So there -- there are cameras when they bring inmates over from the jail to this building.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: All right. So stuff comes down. Do the elected officials want access to the camera system?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: That didn't get brought up.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: That didn't get brought up.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: But I'm assuming the whole system is all --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Uh-huh.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- is all one piece.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yeah. It's two separate pieces of software that we put on each of the client stations.

To save time for everybody and me an eight-hour drive, I'd just say let's just give it to them. Same time I do the access for the access control, right, let them be able to see the camera stuff.

But what we're going to need is cooperation from PC Performance --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Uh-huh.

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- because they're the ones that set up your network and initial firewalls and some other things that are -- that are blocking our ability to do that. So we're going to need them here to open up those firewalls and so forth so that we can get the video and the data transferred.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So --

Go ahead, Keith.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I -- I just had one other comment to make.

With the security systems, as -- as well as the outside buildings away from here -- of course I have no interest in any elected officials' office. But I have interest in access outside of this.

Once we do implement the key cards in the additional county-owned buildings throughout the county, I -- I would like that -- like I say, if I'm responsible for it, I want access to it.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Keith, they're not even built yet.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Road and Bridge South is -- has got -- we're going to -- we're going to --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: But you haven't done it yet so --

KEITH WESTENGARD: No.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: As soon as each building's done, --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Right.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- you can be granted the ---

KEITH WESTENGARD: That's right. Yeah, I just --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- want to put that out there ahead of time so it's not --

JOHN PRITCHARD: So, Keith, just so you know, each -- into each building will be treated as -- in the software as a separate business. So you'll have access -- they'll be named as such. And we can grant you access just to those facilities.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Just wanted clarification. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Do you need cameras down there?

KEITH WESTENGARD: I would like to put cameras on our county-owned buildings. I think it's -- it's a security measure. I think it's an asset. When we have incidents in the middle of the night or somebody --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You mean --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- slips and falls or whatever the case may be.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Or if things walk off.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Or things walk off.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. With that...

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So I'm -- just to voice what I'm thinking, which I'm still unclear on some of this stuff. Because this -- again I don't fully understand how the whole system works and all this stuff. But I think each department head should be able -- should have access to their department to create the access for their department for their employees.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: But I'm thinking as far as printing the cards, I'm thinking that to go -- if it's going to be located for one person to do, I think that should go to HR.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Well, the -- the card itself, reader or the printer --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Because we have one --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- is --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- printer; right?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: We have one printer, which is in IT. And it's hooked up to the server.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right. But it can be -- it can be located anywhere; right?

JOHN PRITCHARD: That's correct.

It's -- it's -- it's IP-based.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: (Indiscernible) be there.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: And I think HR should be printing the cards. The department head's going to have to put the criteria in for the card.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Just so you know, the card itself is just a sticky back --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- that -- that sticks onto the actual card. So the actual physical access card can be done by a different entity. It's not a problem. All they do is they print the right card. They give it to the employee. The employee takes it when -- when they go to get their access card --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Uh-huh.

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- and then put it on there. And you're done.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Unless I'm -- unless I'm thinking goofy on this.

JOHN PRITCHARD: That's how all -- I'd say 95 percent of all our clients do, is through HR. But, you know, again, I know there's different dynamics here.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: I know -- I know we attempted to put it in HR originally. There was no room for that printer --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It's a big printer.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- in HR.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Oh, is it a big printer?

JOHN PRITCHARD: It is a big printer. It stands about this tall.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I think so.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So I don't -- they have -- don't you have -- you have one in the sheriff's office. It's just a little --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It's something different.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- little bitty thing. So this is big; huh?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: This is a tall unit. We -- we attempted originally to put it there on -- on a top shelf, you know, so it was up out of the way because it's not used a lot.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: So I mean, where it is now is a good place for it. It sits there. It works. And I know that at one point when we first moved in, I thought -- John, you had one of your guys go down there and try to put it in.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Uh-huh.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: And then it wasn't -- it wasn't able to fit down there. And so that's why -- because we originally were going to put the cards down there for them to -- to have so they could take the photograph, get it all done. What this allows is -- and correct me if I'm wrong, John -- the elected official creates the information and allows the doors for that person to go through. And then they send to print. And then it goes down to the printer.

(Simultaneous indiscernible conversation.)

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: And it prints out the card.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yep.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: We have -- we have room for that in the law --

(Simultaneous indiscernible conversation.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- library. And we can give access to HR to -- to go in there whenever she wants.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I don't see why in the IT room.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, I'm just saying, --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: You just --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- if you don't want it in the IT room, which you have to go through Lura. If you want HR to do it, there is room in the law library.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I got you.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That's all I'm saying.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I got you. Thanks, Ted. Okay.

SHERIFF RON UNGER: I would suggest you have two people. Don't just hang it on HR because if HR is gone or on vacation or whatever and you need a card made, how are you going to do it?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Well, they'll -- they'll have access to the cards from their computer.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Right.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: They'll be able to activate the card. They just won't have their photo on it.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Well, I'm just thinking HR's -- when there's a new hire, HR's got to walk the person through the hiring process anyway and that can just be part of it. And that's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I get what you're --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: If HR's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- saying.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- not here, they're not going to be able to walk them through the whole process anyway.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: To me, what you need to do is to select a system administrator and a backup to that system administrator and have the training --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Wait. This is not --

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- to do what needs to be --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Huh?

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- done.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: What?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Are we in public comment?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: No.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I didn't -- we're not in public comment. Sorry, John. We'll come back to that, though. Okay. Someone make a decision or a motion or --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Casey's got something.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Casey.

CASEY GUNTHER: Something else that needs to be considered with this also is as soon as the motion's made and whomever is going to be doing it, we need to set up the date part of this so he can finish his access control work. And then the next day is the end-user training, which everybody who's going to be administering and altering key access --

JOHN PRITCHARD: Needs to --

CASEY GUNTHER: -- needs to be a part of that. And then whoever's going to be doing the badges and all of that needs to be part of that as well.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

CASEY GUNTHER: I know elected officials all have different schedules and stuff like that. But that's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: And I say, when we open -- open -- when I open comment.

LESLEY BUNCH: You can't yet? Can't --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No.

LESLEY BUNCH: -- yet?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah, Ron, don't start that again.

SHERIFF RON UNGER: Elected official.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Huh?

CASEY GUNTHER: Determine the date. Didn't mean can you finish your --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I was being a smart ass.

What do you guys want to do?

I would make a motion for you guys, but I can't do it. So someone's got to come up with something.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah. Like I said, this stuff's still spinning around in my head trying to get straight what's -- what actually works. I want it to work. And I don't want to come back and readdress this four or five times.

So if we have -- if we have each department head do their own access for their own employees and they can print the card out, I'm just wondering, how are they going to get access to the card that they print? Are they going to have to go through Lura to get into IT to get --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No, they can each --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- the card?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- have a stack of cards. The -- the printer's only --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: They've still got --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- printing the picture.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: It's got to print where the printer is.

JOHN PRITCHARD: No.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No. You get the picture and you stick that to the card. They can have a stack of cards that they activate. Every department; right?

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes.

CASEY GUNTHER: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Every department can --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: How do they --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- have some cards.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: How do they activate the card?
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: On their computer.
CASEY GUNTHER: When they are doing it --
Excuse me -- Casey, for the record.
When they're putting in their access, they have a card number. The num-- -- there's a number on that card. They insert that card number and then the employee name and then they put certain door numbers that they're allowed access into just onto that card. So that programs the card right there.
The printer is what puts the picture and all that on there. Is that correct, John?
JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes, the picture and your (indiscernible) --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: So how does the -- how does that information get put on the card?
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It works (indiscernible) --
JOHN PRITCHARD: So the card itself is -- is by a card number. So in the database, it only recognizes the card number.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.
JOHN PRITCHARD: So let's just say for -- for argument's sake, one, two, three, --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- four, right? So we put card number one, two, three, four in there.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I see.
JOHN PRITCHARD: The actual card itself has data with -- inside the card that tells us that this is this facility, that it only works at this facility. It won't work someplace else, for example, like a --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: All right.
JOHN PRITCHARD: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I see what you're saying. So you don't have to put the card into a device --
JOHN PRITCHARD: No.
CASEY GUNTHER: No.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- that programs the card?

JOHN PRITCHARD: No.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: When you prog- -- you -- the card has a number on it, --
JOHN PRITCHARD: Right.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- and you tell the system that this card number can get in that door.
JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes.
CASEY GUNTHER: As soon as you know --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: All right.
CASEY GUNTHER: -- that the card is --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I got that.
CASEY GUNTHER: -- active.
JOHN PRITCHARD: Yep.
CASEY GUNTHER: It just doesn't have a picture on it.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: So as far as the picture goes, that could be obtained --
JOHN PRITCHARD: Later.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- later.
JOHN PRITCHARD: Yes.
CASEY GUNTHER: Uh-huh.
JOHN PRITCHARD: Let's say --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- for an emergency's sake you could just --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: (Indiscernible.)
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- activate a card, --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: (Indiscernible.)
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- and then if you can't get to the printer that -- that day, two days later you can print the -- the picture out --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: We can --
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- and still stick --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- print the picture --
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- it onto the card.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- and slap it on the card.
JOHN PRITCHARD: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay. There was a piece of it that I didn't get. Okay.
So I'm thinking each department gets to make their own cards.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Like I said, everybody that logs in, everything they do on this system, there's an -- there's an audit trail.

You know, for example, if -- if Max was to delete access from someone's card and gain this access and -- and delete someone else's card out of the system, everything he does is going to be a list of everything he's done and the time that he did it. Same thing with every person that we give access to. So there should be no issues.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So the other question comes up, you have a department head or an elected official who hasn't hired an employee or had to make any changes for six months to a year and then time comes they have to add an employee, delete an employee, make a change and they forgot everything they learned in the training process.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Uh-huh. So we're available to --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: How do we get them to --

JOHN PRITCHARD: So what you do is you -- you call us. Right.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

JOHN PRITCHARD: And we've installed this system in -- at many different places. I can do it in the mid- -- in my sleep.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Can you walk them through that stuff --

JOHN PRITCHARD: I can walk --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- on the phone?

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- them through all that stuff on the phone.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

JOHN PRITCHARD: That is correct.

CASEY GUNTHER: Part of that also, if you don't mind me here, the end-user training, that will all be videoed, him doing what he's doing and walking everybody through the process.

And I don't think John will have a problem putting out a written procedure --

JOHN PRITCHARD: No, not at all.

CASEY GUNTHER: -- to give to each department head, elected official that will have it or however it's going to be. So if that does happen, that's available.

JOHN PRITCHARD: We've just haven't had a chance to write

that because we don't know how the system is going to be programmed. Everything is -- is customized.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

JOHN PRITCHARD: So it's not just a cookie-cutter process. Once we program the system to operate the way you want it to operate, then I can write the procedure because then we know how the procedure's actually going to work.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

And if we -- if we have each department head and each elected official doing their own department, is that going to cause the department heads and the elected officials angst to have that responsibility?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Over their own department?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Over their own department.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: No, that's been the problem. They wan- --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Right.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That's what they want.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: That will solve the problem of a lot of the elected officials --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Because --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- that have come in --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I know there's --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- here.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: I know there's some department heads --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: And saying everybody has --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- that want --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- access --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- their own department --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- to --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- but I don't know if all of them do. So...

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, no elected official is really comfortable with someone, other department having access --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- to their stuff.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- to their stuff.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I've got to be honest with you.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: All right. So I -- I'll make the proposal that we have each department head and each elected official be in charge of their own department with the security system, that we provide the training to them, and access to them in the system to provide them that ability.

Do we need more than that?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I'll second the motion.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Steve seconded it.

Any public comment?

LESLEY BUNCH: Excuse me. Lesley Bunch.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Sorry, Lesley.

LESLEY BUNCH: That's okay. I was -- I was speaking out of turn.

Lander County Recorder Lesley Bunch. I guess my question is, is this isn't going to be free. How much is it going to cost us to revise the system this way?

JOHN PRITCHARD: There's no cost.

LESLEY BUNCH: There's no costs (indiscernible) --

JOHN PRITCHARD: There's no cost.

LESLEY BUNCH: -- for extras?

JOHN PRITCHARD: No. It's not extras. This is what -- part of what I've been trying to get done for about nine months --

LESLEY BUNCH: Okay.

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- to finish our contract.

LESLEY BUNCH: That's great. That's absolutely great. But I had to ask that. I was just curious.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Perfect.

CASEY GUNTHER: Public comment. Casey Gunther, for the record.

Now that we have this, as soon as it's voted on approved, John Pritchard and his crew will be out here the first week in November starting the 7th --

JOHN PRITCHARD: The 7th.

CASEY GUNTHER: -- to finish access controls and then end-user training. So there's going to have to be some coordination taking place to round up everybody that's going to

be in charge of the system.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Keith?

JOHN PRITCHARD: So what's better? Tuesday or Wednesday?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Coordination.

CASEY GUNTHER: What's better for everybody? Tuesday or Wednesday? I know you've got court stuff. He's got court stuff.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Jennifer O'Kane, for the record.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Since (indiscernible).

My -- Jennifer O'Kane, for the record.

My only concern was is the key cards not having a picture on it. Anybody could use it without the picture on it.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Anybody can use it with the picture on it if it's lost.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Okay. Without the picture on it an individual can say this is their card.

We can verify that that picture belongs to that card walking down the hallways.

Second, and I believe my other comment is very clear. As a remote access to the cameras, my IT writes a lot of programs for us, has to -- can get a lot. We have access off property to see all of our cameras. Or if we go to Belize or anywhere, we can see our cameras live.

JOHN PRITCHARD: Same thing with our system.

JENNIFER O'KANE: And you can do that here?

JOHN PRITCHARD: Absolutely.

JENNIFER O'KANE: In the county?

JOHN PRITCHARD: Yeah, what we -- what we do is the only thing is we can prevent other people from seeing the video by -- by login and password.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Perfect.

Any other public comment?

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: John Michael Lawrence, for the record.

I just want to suggest to the board that you're going to have to look at either assigning or electing a IT person with everything that the county is doing between the pool, between these doors, between everything else. Experience in the

industrial industry and secured locations. You need a system administrator. And you need a person -- a backup to that system administrator.

This gentleman here needs to have somebody that is -- actually two people that is his primary point of contact. One that's primary. And then a second, so that that person can actually leave town once in a while, isn't always having to be here to be available.

And suggestion to -- to the board that you start considering this, especially with everything that you've discussed just briefly.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Excellent suggestion. You want to know how many we've gone through? We -- we have. We've hired several, and it hasn't worked. But I -- I'm sure it's something that we're -- we're still considering because we're looking hard. Now --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: We don't get to decide --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- Casey, --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- that.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Hm.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: When did --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: The assessor.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: When's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: It's up --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- John --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- to the assessor.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- coming down?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Oh.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah.

CASEY GUNTHER: He was (indiscernible) --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yeah. It's not --

CASEY GUNTHER: -- the first week --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- the --

CASEY GUNTHER: -- of November, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But -- but she's --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- the commissioners.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- still --

CASEY GUNTHER: Monday, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- with it --

CASEY GUNTHER: November --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: I mean, how --
CASEY GUNTHER: -- 7th --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- many we've hired?
CASEY GUNTHER: -- to start to finish up some terminations here and --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: We're all for it.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Because Tuesday is -- is voting day.
CASEY GUNTHER: Right. So we probably ought to do it Wednesday.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Any other public comment?
(No comment.)
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: So are you -- you got your question answered about what day?
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Be right back.
JOHN PRITCHARD: I kind of got things now. I think I understand where we're going now. So I think we're okay. Thank you --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.
JOHN PRITCHARD: -- very much.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

31) Discussion and possible action regarding a trial period of a four-day week, ten-hour-day schedule, with the administration office remaining open five days a week between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thirty-one, discussion and possible action regarding a trial period of a four-day week, ten-hour-day schedule, with administration office remaining open five days a week between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., and all other

matters properly related thereto.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh, Keith's gone.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Chairman. He had to leave for a second. He asked that you hold off on this, do the next ones so he can come back and explain this.

32) Discussion and possible action regarding the added mechanical scope of work of the HVAC system in the Lander County Administration Building in an amount not to exceed $120,671, and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Discussion, possible action regarding the added mechanical scope of work of the HVAC system of the Lander County Administration Building in an amount not to exceed $120,671, and all other matters properly related thereto.

Hi, guys. Long time no see.

CASEY GUNTHER: Casey Gunther with CORE Construction, for the record.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Max Bunch, with -- been the building head for you guys, trying to finish it up. So...

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: So was this a mistake the architect should have caught?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: A mistake they made.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: A mistake --

CASEY GUNTHER: I'd like to --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- they made?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Defective.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Similar to a design flaw and many other things. I'll put it at that.

And Ms. Waits, I know that you previously had a question about some different things. And -- and I have kept or I've had the ability to keep meticulous records on every single thing in the project of this building that was over -- or was a design issue. And we have a number that exceeds -- if this is included today -- that exceeds $365,000 that I've not had the opportunity to sit down and discuss and go over the contract with.

But it looks like that we're probably going to head towards arbitration at some point in time because that's what the contract says. And so we will try and have a sit-down discussion, which

I've attempted to do already once. And they have not got back to me. And I wanted to get everything resolved before we go back in and have our meeting with them to make that determination.

So we are working diligently on this. And we have kept records. From the start of this project back in 2014 to currently, we have every single thing that's ever happened.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Does the county owe them money still?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: The County does not owe them any money. We are -- we have complied with the contract. As far as our agreement, we've contri- -- we've complied with that. And now it's for us to go back and see if we can negotiate it or get it resolved. Now that's only on this building. And -- and we'll have to go -- you guys will have to take separately --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: And the contract calls for mediation?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: The contract calls for mediation -- arbitration, actually, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- not mediation.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: I think we're going to try and mediate before we get to arbitration.

So --

CASEY GUNTHER: (Indiscernible.)

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- that's kind of off of that.

And so where we're at on -- on this is, as you remember, I will -- I had told you at maybe one of the last meetings that I was present for, there was not heat in the -- certain areas of building. The interiors of the building do not have reheats, which are, like, radiators up in the air duct that hooked up to a boiler system.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Does this room have one?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: This room has one.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Oh, okay.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: It's heating.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: I believe. I can -- I can feel it coming out of here.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: So I mean --

CASEY GUNTHER: Basically, all the exterior building, the rooms around the exterior of the building have the reheats in them. From the design standpoint, to -- to answer the question and kind of elaborate on it a little bit, if you don't mind.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Go ahead.

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So at this point, we're not talking about anything that's covered under warranties. We're talking about the extra -- extra amount that we have to do to fix the -- the HVAC, and we worry about the rest with who caused it later.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Uh-huh.

CASEY GUNTHER: Basically, it's -- it's above and beyond the original design scope.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: So --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Design defect.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: So --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So we're just going to pay for this now and go fix it --

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Well --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- with them later?

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Unless you want to wait till January and people --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Oh.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- wrapped up in blankets.

We went through one of them and I -- I've been working on this. And it really came to a head -- I think it was July 4th, if I remember correctly.

CASEY GUNTHER: I think it was.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: I was probably the only one working on that day. Because I sent out a scathing email to everybody, not you, but everybody included on this. And -- and it drew a lot of attention. And we started meeting weekly, and -- and we got that done.

So we kind of have a system set up. If it's approved --

Did you want to go ahead and talk about that a little bit?

CASEY GUNTHER: So, basically, what we want to do is, we have proceeded with ordering all the materials. The materials are here. And I believe Judge Bunch has already discussed with

the elected officials where these rooms will be affected.
We would like to start work on these Monday.
Mind if I look at this?
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: Go ahead.
CASEY GUNTHER: Not sure which room that is. Okay.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: (Indiscernible.)
CASEY GUNTHER: All right. So we're starting --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: What we're -- what we're contemplating of doing will fix the system? It's not just a temporary fix? It will --
CASEY GUNTHER: This --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- it will --
CASEY GUNTHER: -- will fix --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- fix it?
CASEY GUNTHER: -- the system.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: And we'll be done?
CASEY GUNTHER: Yes.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: But -- but it will do -- it will do a couple of other things too. Included in this, is there is a thermostat relocation.
CASEY GUNTHER: Yes.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: There is to try and -- and assist. And what else was the other thing? Oh.
CASEY GUNTHER: It was the added back net.
JUDGE MAX BUNCH: And added back net so that we can look at it on the computer for the boiler system.
Right now we have a back net for the cooling system, which I -- I look at sporadically to see and make sure the temperatures are where they're supposed to be without having to go and walk up the whole building to see where the -- what the -- because I don't have access to a lot of offices to be able to -- to get in there to do anything. So -- so I can't look at the thermostats. But with this computer system, I'm able to look at the thermostat and see what the temperature is in that office, if they've left a heater on, a floor heater, or something like that, I could pretty much tell you if there was one.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: So just to cover bases, --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- this new addition or the changes to

the system were designed by an engineer?
CASEY GUNTHER: They were.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: They were going to be --
CASEY GUNTHER: They -- they --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: It's going to work?
CASEY GUNTHER: The engineer of --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: All that --
CASEY GUNTHER: -- record, --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- stuff?
CASEY GUNTHER: -- Capital Engineering, who --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Are they --
CASEY GUNTHER: -- sporadically --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- the ones who designed it?
CASEY GUNTHER: -- sporadically attended -- yeah. They are. They are the engineer of record who originally did this. They -- they sporadically attended these meetings. They know -- they're aware of the issues. And -- and they designed these with the reheats. And all the models they have provided have shown that that will -- will take care of the issue with the heating.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Okay.
CASEY GUNTHER: What we want --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: I'll make a motion that we approve the added mechanical scope of work of the HVAC system in the Lander County Administration Building in an amount not to exceed $120,671.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Patsy made a motion. Doug seconded. Any public comment?
JENNIFER O'KANE: Sorry. Jennifer O'Kane, for the record. I just have a comment in regards to the final walk-through and the three stamp, wet stamp that the architect has to send to our building department.
So all this time that this building is basically cracking, AC, all this stuff that we've been dealing with for the last year, isn't CORE the general contractor?
CASEY GUNTHER: Yes.
JENNIFER O'KANE: So --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Case-.
JENNIFER O'KANE: -- CORE being the general contractor

having -- sorry --

CASEY GUNTHER: Uh-huh.

JENNIFER O'KANE: -- having it engineered, it -- kind of confusing. I just kind of wanted to put that out there, just doesn't make sense to me that we do our walk- -- final walk-throughs, we sign off. It is our responsibility. Once we sign off, we have to pay for the differences and things that aren't in warranty, as a new building. That happens. Things settle. But we pay a lot of money to CORE, a lot of money to CORE. And we're putting out more money for buildings. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Casey, would you answer that question for me? Would you like to respond?

CASEY GUNTHER: Okay. I didn't really hear a question. But I -- yeah -- CORE is the general contractor. We're not engineers.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Right.

CASEY GUNTHER: CORE is the general contractor.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

CASEY GUNTHER: We're not engineers.

JENNIFER O'KANE: But they work for you.

CASEY GUNTHER: No. No.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hey, let him speak, please.

CASEY GUNTHER: We have no control over the engineers, the design team. We work with them.

Concrete cracks -- sure as taxes, it happens. I don't -- this was a design issue. It made it through design. It made it through all the checks. When they designed it, they account for loads, people, all that goes into mechanical design. Loads weren't there. So I don't know what else I can say to that, but sure.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you for your answer.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: And I will -- if you'll allow me to comment on the -- the final walk-through, we were in the process of doing the final walk-through. We've completed most of that. Some of that work is still being done.

Anna, with the Building Department walked with the warranty gentleman from CORE Construction. And they're in the middle doing that. In fact, yesterday they were replacing plate glass windows in the back of the building, you know, like a

twelve-foot by eight-foot plate glass window that they found a problem with. That was just replaced yesterday.

They are continuing to do the final check of this building before it does come to us.

But as I have been -- for example, the HVAC unit on top of the roof, they are going to extend out the warranty a year from the time that they had finally resolved all their issues on the two air handlers on the roof. So that is in process as part of this program so that -- but that's not the issue that's on the agenda. I'm just trying to give you information as far as that's concerned. I'm happy, at some point in time to let you know, --

CASEY GUNTHER: Uh-huh.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: -- all that information.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

Any other public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ AND COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

JUDGE MAX BUNCH: I -- I do have one thing.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hold on. Art?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you.

Sorry. I -- I -- I have a schedule that it's going to impact on this, which is we're starting on the 17th, different offices. I've been able to talk to the assessor's office. I have not talked to the treasurer's office yet as far -- I know that Anna has talked to the treasurer's office. And we -- they know that's it's coming in. I just need to let her know the days.

HR will be -- and it's out in the hallway, I believe, so it's really not going to affect in that office. It's going to affect the back hallway on the 25th. So when they're running the plumbing, all of that's going to happen. It's going to be about two weeks.

Seventeenth, they're going to work four 10-hour days -- 17, 18, 19, 20 -- then they're coming back on the 24th, 25th, 26th,

and whatever on the 27th for the two weeks at the end of October, according to the schedule that I have. And the 26th may be a long day.

So those offices that may have to have somebody stay to make sure their office is secure. They have to stay here till 7:00 or 8:00 at night to make sure they're able to get the work done.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Thanks, Max. Thanks, Casey.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You guys are doing a good job. Keep it up.

31) Discussion and possible action regarding a trial period of a four-day week, ten-hour-day schedule with the administrative office remaining open five days a week between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., and all other matters properly related thereto.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thirty-one. Discussion and possible action regarding a trial period of a four-day week, ten-day -- ten-hour-day schedule with the administration office remaining open five days a week between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m, and all matters property related thereto.

KEITH WESTENGARD: So last year, we -- I brought this forward to you. And I was told that we could revisit it once we were in the new building.

My proposal is that we go to four tens, five days a week. The office will remain open five days a week. It serves as a benefit to the public, I believe. And I'd like to try this out on a trial period and bring it back to you at your meeting in January to reevaluate it.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Guys?

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I thought we looked at this one time. And basically, we were told that as long as the offices were open five days a week, staffed, when we were over at the other place, it would be okay. We kind of said we was going to leave it up to the department heads or that, how they wanted to run their office, as long as they had coverage.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And -- and we'll have coverage.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Yeah, that's what --

KEITH WESTENGARD: (Indiscernible.)

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- I'm saying. I thought we already approved that.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, Commissioner, --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- that -- that's true with the elected officials. But -- but Keith's talking about your department, the -- the admin.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Admin. Yeah, this is the administration -- my --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Oh.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: So -- so it's your department he's asking you --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Oh.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- permission for, not --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: We should do it? All right. I see.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I -- I was for this the last time we spoke to it. But after the meeting where this was discussed, I was approached by more than several people about a four-day workweek. And the first thing they said was, if you can go to four days a week, you don't need as many workers. So I'm open to listen to the discussion because I see some value in a four-day workweek, especially if you have children and the school is on a four-day schedule. So I'm -- I'm willing to listen.

KEITH WESTENGARD: We will remain open. I just -- I need to make this as clear as I can. We will be open -- the administration office will stay open five days a week. It's not closing down one day a week.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: So if somebody comes in and wants to pay their water bill on a Monday or a Friday, you are guarantee-- guaranteeing the commission --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: They'll pay them (indiscernible).

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- that they can pay their water bill?

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Yes.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I am -- I am guaranteeing that the office will be open if somebody who needs to come and pay a bill, the administration office. Yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay, that --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: The water bill goes to the treasurer's office.

KEITH WESTENGARD: A bill at the administration office. I -- I can't speak for any of the elected officials, just for the --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- office that I --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No. I --

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- oversee.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- just --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes. I --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- that's my only concern.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that can be a guarantee because you will --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: always have somebody available --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- even at lunch time.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah. We do -- currently --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You stagger.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- we do not close lunch -- for lunch.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right.

KEITH WESTENGARD: We stagger our lunches.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Which I think is -- is a good policy.

KEITH WESTENGARD: We're open from 8:00 to 5:00 every single -- or five days a week.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: If -- if they're working --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But you --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- th- --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- will be here five days a week, --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: If they're working from --

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- if they're working a ten-hour-day shift, why is the office only open from 8:00 to 5:00?

KEITH WESTENGARD: It's -- it's -- it's -- the public access is available from 7:00 in the morning until --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: 6:00.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- 6:00 at night.

I'm just saying we'll -- the office is open, it's staffed 7:00 in the morning --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- till 6:00 at night.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: The office isn't open?

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yes, it is open.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, it said --

The agenda item, Keith, does not --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- does not follow along with what you're asking.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I see what you're saying.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Mm.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: So...

BECKY JOHNSON: Becky Johnson, --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It's not agendized.

BECKY JOHNSON: -- human resource director.

The employees would work 7:00 to 6:00. We would only open the office 8:00 to 5:00, which are the core hours for the courthouse because we didn't want to affect any other offices.

So --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So we're not changing --

BECKY JOHNSON: -- the public --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- our --

BECKY JOHNSON: -- will not be able to come in until 8:00.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: We're not changing our time that the court is open to the -- courthouse is open to the public at all?

BECKY JOHNSON: Correct.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: It's just the administration with the employees.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. What's the benefit to the public --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: -- if it's not open to the public from 7:00 to 6:00?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: No difference to the public.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: They're not affected --

BECKY JOHNSON: Right.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- at all.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: They're not benefiting either.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: No. We're not making it better for them. But that --

BECKY JOHNSON: We would still be open Monday through Friday, 8:00 --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah, but that --

BECKY JOHNSON: -- to 5:00.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- isn't the point. The point is, he wants a trial basis for his employees to go --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: I understand.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- on a four-day.

KEITH WESTENGARD: And we will -- we will be -- we answer phones at 7:00 to 6:00. Phones will be answered from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. five days a week.

If somebody calls and needs to come in at 7:30, one of the staff will go out and let them in.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: So it is a benefit. They're open from the phone.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It's not a benefit. They have to call and ask permission to get in? If -- if it's staffed, why isn't it open?

BECKY JOHNSON: The issue with doing that, if you open the courthouse at 7:00 and you close it at 6:00, you're going to start getting complaints from citizens that, Well, the administration office is open, but the assessor's office isn't open. So that's why we wanted to avoid changing the core hours of the courthouse being open.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: So I guess I'll throw my two cents in. I told you I wouldn't beat you up, but you know I'm not really in favor of four tens.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I do. That's why I'm asking just for a trial run.

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right. And we've discussed it. And I'm willing to let you try. And we'll see how it goes. I think there are -- there's flaws on a four-ten system.

BECKY JOHNSON: But there's flaws in any system. You're going to --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: There's a -- there's a flaw --

BECKY JOHNSON: -- have someone that calls in sick --

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Yeah.

BECKY JOHNSON: -- on an 8-hour shift.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Well, --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: But I'm willing to let you try. So I'd -- I'd be happy to let you try it for while to see if works and how it works and --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: So are you making --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I'm not --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- a motion?
COMMISSIONER MILLS: I -- I would --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have a question.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- make a motion that we allow the admin office to -- on a temporary basis -- work a four -- four-day workweek of a 10-hour schedule. And then --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Coverage.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- you said in January, you'd come back and report to us on how that's working and let --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: You might --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- us make it a --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: You might --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- decision.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- want to include, but the five-day workweek.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: Right. Remaining --
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: (Indiscernible.)
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- with the office remaining open five --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER MILLS: -- for the five-day workweek with the core hours.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: And I'll --
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Second.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: -- second the motion.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug made a motion. Steve seconded it. Any public comment?
LESLEY BUNCH: I have a question.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: I've got some more questions. Any elected official -- official could set the hours for their department; correct?
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: As long as --
LESLEY BUNCH: No, --

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- they're open.
LESLEY BUNCH: -- you can't.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes, they can.
LESLEY BUNCH: Lesley Bunch, for the record.
We --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll I'm --
LESLEY BUNCH: -- we --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- trying --
LESLEY BUNCH: -- can't.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- to ask a --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Lesley, --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- question, please.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- he's asking me a --
LESLEY BUNCH: I'm sorry.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- question.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Please, --
LESLEY BUNCH: I'm sorry.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- just hold on a second.
LESLEY BUNCH: I'm didn't hear him.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes. You -- the County Commission, you can set the hours and the days, which you have, 8:00 to 5:00, Monday through Friday.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You don't set individual elected officials' work staff hours. So if -- if they have it staffed Monday through Friday from 8:00 to 5:00, then the elected officials can -- can do that.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: For instance, Lesley could put her people on a four-day workweek if she so desires.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: As long as her office is open from 8:00 to 5:00 Monday through Friday.
LESLEY BUNCH: Right.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: Yes. We're talking about your department. We're talking --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: We just --
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- about the admin department, not the HR, not --
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Anybody else.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- not -- not the -- not even the fiscal --
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Financial.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: -- officer.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Right.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: You're talking about the admin.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: So we would have five people.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: I don't know how they're going to do it, Art.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: That's up to them.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: We have --
COMMISSIONER MILLS: That's right.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: -- five days covered.
KEITH WESTENGARD: We have a schedule. And I believe it is -- was put in your mailboxes just for review as to what -- what's being proposed for us.
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I think it's in the book too.
CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Lesley, go ahead.
LESLEY BUNCH: Lesley Bunch, for the record, Lander County recorder.
For -- if this were something that you would want to approve, I don't -- I didn't understand that I could ever do anything like that from my office. My -- the statutes that serve my office say the county commission sets my hours. And that's what we go by.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HERRERA: It is.
LESLEY BUNCH: So thank you for not making us work Saturdays.
But what I'd like to say is, if we could -- if we could go on a 10-hour schedule once my staff is back, it would allow me to have DMV available from 8:00 to 5:00 rather than 9:00 to 4:00 because it takes a while to start things up.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah.
LESLEY BUNCH: It takes a while to end them for the day. We have to be out at 5:00. We can't -- we don't have overtime. So what this would allow our office to do would be to start our schedule at 8:00 o'clock for DMV and end at 5:00 o'clock, which gives the public more time to come in and do their business because a lot of people have a difficult time getting in there.
And right now we're closing -- we have our office closed from 12:00 to 1:15 is -- because we don't always get out right at 12:00 and they deserve their hours but -- because we're so low-staffed. So I just thought I would mention that as a

positive. It would for us improve our hours for the public's use as well, which is a concern.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Now here -- here's another concern I have. I don't want to have people working Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, have Friday off, have a Monday holiday and then those people schedule a doctor's appointment for Tuesday. Now, that's not farfetched because when I was on the school board, we had these issues. And I don't want people to take advantage of --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thank you, Lesley.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- a good thing.

KEITH WESTENGARD: On -- the on holiday weeks, the entire staff will work 8-hour days, Tuesday through Friday. So on a holiday week, it goes to an 8:00 to 5:00 for everybody. So that there's no possibility of that taking place.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's -- that's a big concern.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Okay. All right. Like you said, this is a trial period to see how it goes for evaluation and see how it goes.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Doug made the motion. Steve seconded.

Any other public comment?

(No comment.)

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: All in favor?

COMMISSIONER MILLS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Make a motion to adjourn.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hold on.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Oh, no.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hold on. Hold on.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Hold on.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: What?

33) **Correspondence, reports, potential upcoming agenda items.**

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Thirty-three.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Correspondence.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Correspondence, reports, potential upcoming agenda items.

Anyone?

Oh. You're leaving.

Okay.

PUBLIC COMMENT

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay. Public comment for non-agendized items only.

Persons are invited to submit comments in writing and/or attend and make comments on any non-agenda item at the board meeting, if any. And discussion of those comments at the discretion of the board. All public comment may be limited to three minutes per person, again at the discretion of the board. Reasonable restrictions may be placed on public comment based upon time, place, and manner, but public comment based upon viewpoint may not be restricted.

Any public comment?

KEITH WESTENGARD: I just wanted to point one thing out, Commissioner Bakker. It goes back to the NACO dues. Last year we were $18,761 for the entire year.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: For NACO.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: Yep. I had to look it up. Thank you.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: I have something to add. I was given a letter here by an individual in the county and was asked to read it to you.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Hold on. Go ahead.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I would like to address that. That letter was dropped off to my office. It was time stamped. I have a copy of it here. It was unsigned. And at the direction of this board, no unsigned complaints --

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Nope.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- are to be -- that's why it was never passed on to --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Addressed.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- you guys. It was addressed because it's unsigned. I have it -- I have it right here. It was received October 6th at 11:08 -- October 16th, 2016, at 11:08. And it is signed -- unsigned due to fear local politicians' retaliation. So I didn't pass it on to this board.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

KEITH WESTENGARD: That's all.

COMMISSIONER WAITS: But that doesn't stop the public comment.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: No. Go ahead. Read it.

KEITH WESTENGARD: I wanted you to know --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yeah.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- I did receive it, --

COMMISSIONER WAITS: No, no.

KEITH WESTENGARD: -- unsigned.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Am I allowed to read it?

COMMISSIONER WAITS: Yes.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Okay.

To whom it may concern,

For the last couple of months, I've been watching the Lander --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: You got this?

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- County Board of Commissioners meeting.

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Doug?

JOHN PRITCHARD: And I'm --

CHAIRMAN BAKKER: Okay.

JOHN PRITCHARD: -- currently more than just a little disturbed in what has and is happening in our beloved Lander County.

Examples: One, when I first started watching, I noticed that the chairman and the District 2 representative were spending a substantial amount of time apparently texting on their cell phones during the meeting.

I'm wondering who they were communicating with, each other or perhaps they were receiving instructions from a lobbyist or interested party. Whatever the case, it was completely

inappropriate.

It was my current understanding that they were informed that this was an obvious violation of the law. And I didn't notice any texting by them at the September meeting in Austin.

In one meeting, the board authorized an $800,000 char- -- change order for a contractor to apply a sealant to recently repaved roads in the county. A very good idea. But shouldn't this been part of the original bid or gone through the budget process?

A, personal experience tells me that change orders rarely cost less than prenegotiated contracts.

B, per the District 2 rep at another meeting, members of the Hilltop area have been waiting years for pavement.

C, at the meeting in Austin, several people brought up multiple concerns about road repairs that they have been waiting for for over four years to complete. Shouldn't these funds have been used to complete needed work that has been authorized or moved into next year's budget rather than giving the contractor a high-profit mar- -- margin change order?

Three, at one meeting a resident asked about a verible -- verifiable use of county equipment by a private contractor for a job at a local business, asphalt roller on bank parking lot in Battle Mountain.

An unelected county employee stated that the contractor's equipment had broken down and that he is authorized to loan the equipment. The commissioners did not question this, but as a taxpaying member of Lander County, I have some questions.

A, if an injury/accident had occurred, could the county have been exposed to a lawsuit?

B, if the equipment had been damaged, who would have been responsible for the cost of the repair?

C, what criteria does an individual have to meet in order to borrow Lander County equipment?

One, be personal friends with the right county employee? Two, be a county employee? Three, give the right county employee a gift, discount, or election support? Four, have secured high-dollar contracts with the county?

I'm certain that a lot of county residents would appreciate access to county-owned and -maintained equipment to do private contract work.

Example four, at a meeting in Battle Mountain, the chairman asked a group in the back of the room to quiet down twice due to their talking during the meeting.

(Video shows Chairman Bakker has left chambers and there is a quorum of four commissioners remaining.)

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: At one point during the meeting a cell phone started ringing from the same area of the room. At no point were any of these people told that, if they continued to disrupt the meeting, they would be removed.

However, when a lady in another part of the room began quietly applauding the words of a speaker addressing the board, that is what she was told by the chairman.

Example 5. At the meeting in Austin, I noticed that when the lady live-streaming the meeting turned the camera from the back of the room to the front, a woman sitting behind her gave her a middle finger salute in her direction, flipped her the bird.

I considered this strange and immature, but then discovered afterwards that the woman was the wife of the board chairman, this making it all the more disturbing.

Example 6. This example is based on rumors. And I would not repeat them except that the board itself felt it necessary at one of the meetings to address rumors of inappropriate travel expenses. So I'll list a couple of rumors I've recently heard, although I haven't personally confirmed.

A, at least one resident has been issued no-trespassing orders by a couple of local businesses without proof of cause.

It seems that the owners of Bakker's Brew and Haney's Furniture are turning away business. Why would businesses do that?

B, a Walmart distribution center did not come to Lander County because our local politicians required them to use existing building structures, none of which were appropriate for the purpose, resulting in how many potential jobs lost to our county?

C, at least one local business has threatened to terminate an employee for simply associating with members of the community that don't always agree with their local -- with their political

point of view. Obviously, some of us can't afford to lose our job right now.

D, at least one resident has been influenced not to display election signs for the local election and not because of policy differences, but because showing public support for someone not connected to our current politicians will result in problems with our local government.

Could this be the real reason parts of Austin have been waiting four years for road repairs?

E, a vapor store business in Battle Mountain was shut down by the county because of its location being next to the brothel, high school students being told that they would not be allowed to graduate by a school counselor if they were found frequenting vapor store.

F, long-time county employees are resigning due to rampant corruption in our local politics.

These are just a few of the rumors floating around. And if only a third of them are true, then what does that say about our county and local government?

I would like to say thank you to Ms. O'Kane for live-streaming and recording the Board of Commissioners meetings, although actually attending the meeting was much more educational. Being able to see what's actually happening in our local pozitic- -- politics has been a real eye-opener for me.

I have signed the recall petition because of what I've observed, not due to rumors.

It is my hope that we the people can change the current direction of our local politics.

And it's unsigned, due to fear of local politicians' retaliation.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And how did you get a copy of something that was sent --

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: The individual --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- for the commissioners?

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: The individual that wrote this gave it to me.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: So it's not anonymous, then, if you know who it was.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: I was --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's all a bunch of baloney.

JENNIFER O'KANE: Jennifer O'Kane, for the record.

I'm -- I am the one that wrote it. I'll put my name on the record.

As you clearly state, it is in there, the person that live-streams it is --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh, my God.

JENNIFER O'KANE: -- right here.

The reason that I didn't put my name on that is because of things that have been happening that are read in there.

People are not putting signs up on their thing -- their houses. And they've put it in writing that they've been told that they will absolutely had problems and have problems with this community.

The other things is that people cannot talk to me because they will lose their jobs.

I put that. I also sent it to the county. I sent it to the state because of this exact reaction.

You are an elected official. I am concerned that I will lose even more.

I came up here as a public individual on several occasions and have been ridiculed, walked [verbatim], discriminated.

So, yeah, that's mine. That's my letter that went to the state.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, it's not --

JENNIFER O'KANE: Went to the DA.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- anonymous anymore.

JENNIFER O'KANE: I'm -- I'm not finished, sir.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You have three minutes and it's done.

JENNIFER O'KANE: My three minutes is not up, sir.

So I am coming forth. It has been living hell living here, not just for me, but a lot of people in this community.

So yeah, no, it's there.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: Protect the right.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLS: Okay. Thank you --

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: You can comment --

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLS: -- for your public comment.

JOHN MICHAEL LAWRENCE: -- if you'd like.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLS: Do we have any other public comment? I would entertain a motion.

ADJOURNMENT

COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: I make a motion to adjourn.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second.
VICE CHAIRMAN MILLS: Steve made a motion. Art seconded. All in favor?
COMMISSIONER STIENMETZ: Aye.
VICE CHAIRMAN MILLS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER WAITS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye.
VICE CHAIRMAN MILLS: Thank you, Austin.

CHAIRMAN OR VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF LANDER COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE LANDER COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD

ATTEST: Sadie Sullivan
LANDER COUNTY CLERK

Note: The Board of Lander County Commissioners serves as the Town Board for the unincorporated towns of Battle Mountain and Austin, Nevada.